



BBioNets

Boosting the adoption
of Bio-Based Technologies

DELIVERABLE D2.2

BBTs Assessment Tool – v1

MTU

June 2024



Funded by
the European Union

Document information

Document ID	D2.2		
Title	BBTs assessment tool – v1		
Work Package	WP2 –Bio-Based Technologies and regional dynamics		
Due Date	30/06/2024	Delivery Date	28/06/2024
Dissemination Level	PU-fully open		
Partner Responsible	MTU		
Main Authors	Carmen Girón Domínguez - MTU		
Contributors	Husain Sadeqi - MTU		

Peer reviewers

Reviewer 1	Thomas McCarthy – Teagasc
Reviewer 2	Patrizia Borsotto – CREA
Reviewer 3	Roberto Cagliero – CREA
Coordinator	Carmen Girón Domínguez – MTU

Document history

Version	Date	Main Modifications	Author(s)
0.1	13/06/2024	Creation of the first version	MTU
0.2	21/06/2024	Teagasc revision	Teagasc
0.3	24/06/2024	CREA revision	CREA
1.0	28/06/2024	Final version ready for submission	MTU
2.0	11/09/2024	Copyright added	MTU

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	7
2	Context of the BBTs Assessment tool in the project.....	8
2.1	Composition of the BBTs Assessment tool	9
2.1.1	'Reference' Scenarios.....	9
2.1.2	RR-Specific Scenarios	14
2.1.3	Validation of the RR-Specific Scenarios (weightage).....	14
3	Methodology.....	15
3.1	Conceptual design	15
3.2	Components of the tool	17
3.2.1	Excel sheets.....	17
3.2.2	Data Model (Power Pivot)	26
4	Usage scenarios and functionalities of the tool.....	31
4.1	Interpretation of results.....	32
5	'Reference' scenario information.....	33
5.1	Czech Republic	34
5.1.1	Information for the "Workshop Answers" sheet.	34
5.1.2	Information for the "TranslateTables" sheet.....	52
5.1.3	Information for the "Weight" sheet	64
5.2	Greece	70
5.2.1	Information for the "Workshop Answers" sheet.	70
5.2.2	Information for the "TranslateTables" sheet.....	79
5.2.3	Information for the "Weight" sheet	84
5.3	Ireland	86
5.3.1	Information for the "Workshop Answers" sheet.	86
5.3.2	Information for the "TranslateTables" sheet.....	93
5.3.3	Information for the "Weight" sheet	102
5.4	Italy.....	105
5.4.1	Information for the "Workshop Answers" sheet.	105
5.4.2	Information for the "TranslateTables" sheet.....	112
5.4.3	Information for the "Weight" sheet	117
5.5	Poland.....	119
5.5.1	Information for the "Workshop Answers" sheet.	119
5.5.2	Information for the "TranslateTables" sheet.....	125
5.5.3	Information for the "Weight" sheet	134
5.6	Spain	137
5.6.1	Information for the "Workshop Answers" sheet.	137
5.6.2	Information for the "TranslateTables" sheet.....	148

5.6.3 Information for the “Weight” sheet	154
6 Conclusions and future works.....	159
References	160
Appendix I Guidelines for Reference Scenarios	161

List of Figures

Figure 1. Relation of tasks and BBTs Assessment tool outputs per Represented Region.....	8
Figure 2. ‘Reference’ Scenario representation.....	9
Figure 3. RR-Specific Scenario representation.	14
Figure 4. Validation phase represented.	14
Figure 5. Concept design of the BBTs Assessment tool	16
Figure 6. BBTs Assessment tool concept regarding project tasks.....	16
Figure 7. Screenshot of Excel’s Name Manager with detail of the Names created in the Inventory sheet.....	19
Figure 8. Screenshot of the Workshop Answers sheet.	21
Figure 9. Screenshot of the Translate Table sheet.....	23
Figure 10. Screenshot of BBTs Assessment tool Power Pivot window.	28
Figure 11. Clarification of the Power Pivot snowflake diagram	30
Figure 12. Representation of the layer of information for the BBTs Assessment tool for each region	31
Figure 13. Cost-Effectiveness 2-D plot interpretation guide. Adapted from [2]......	32

List of Tables

Table 1: Relation of resources for the creation of the ‘Reference’ Scenarios generated with the BBTs Assessment tool.	10
Table 2: Purpose of Excel sheets.	17
Table 3. Table names in the Workshop	19
Table 4. Association of information in “TranslateTables” sheet.....	21
Table 5: Weight tables and its relationship with other information.....	24
Table 6: Types of variables and fields analysed	25

Table of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
AB	Advisory Board
BBT	Bio-Based Technology
D	Deliverable
FAN	Forest and Agriculture Networks
HLRD	High-Level study of Regional Dynamics
OG	Operational Groups
RPFA	Regional Partners for Forestry and Agriculture
RR	Represented Region
T	Task
WP	Work Package

Executive Summary

This document, titled "BBTs Assessment Tool - v1," outlines the initial version of the Bio-Based Technologies (BBTs) Assessment Tool, detailing its features and the 'Reference' Scenarios for each Represented Region (RR). It describes the tool's integration with project tasks such as the BBioNets knowledge inventory, regional dynamics studies, and workshops for identifying and validating regional needs and BBTs.

The primary objective of the tool is to prioritize the most suitable BBTs for each RR based on user-provided data, enhancing accuracy with more detailed input. The methodology section elucidates the tool's operation, interrelationships of information, and accuracy improvement. A data model created with Power Pivot is explained, showcasing its design and functionality.

The report covers various applications of the tool, results interpretation through a 2-D cost-effectiveness plot and presents 'Reference' Scenarios from each region. An annex provides guidelines for partners on using the tool to develop 'Reference' Scenarios.

1 Introduction

This document is the D2.2 "BBTs Assessment Tool - v1". It provides an overview of the functionalities of the first version of the Bio-Based Technologies (BBTs) Assessment Tool and the 'reference' scenario for each Represented Region (RR).

The BBTs Assessment tool is presented in the context of the project, how it interacts with other tasks such as the BBioNets knowledge inventory, the high-level study on regional dynamics (T2.3), the workshops held during T1.2 to identify regional needs and challenges, and the future workshops to be held during T1.3 for the validation and prioritisation of bio-based technologies (BBTs).

The main objective of the tool is to generate a proposed prioritisation of the most appropriate BBT for each RR using the mapped BBTs. The tool is designed so that the more information the user provides, the more accurate the results will be. In other words, the more accurate the suggested prioritisation of BBTs for each RR.

This report comprises six chapters along with references and is organised as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction which describes issues concerning deliverable scope, objectives, how it is the function in the project, and it briefly presents the structure of deliverable.

Chapter 2: The context of the BBTs Assessment tool which describes how the BBTs Assessment tool fits within the project and a deeply description of terms related to the tool.

Chapter 3: Methodology: this section has been written to explain how it works, how the information relates to each other and why it becomes more accurate as more information is added. A data model has been developed using Power Pivot within the tool and it is explained how it is designed, how the different tables are built, how they relate to each other and a visual representation of how it works.

Chapter 4: Uses of the BBTs Assessment tool: the "Reference" Scenario is explained, as well as how to interpret the results in a 2-D plot of cost-effectiveness variables.

Chapter 5: 'Reference' Scenarios information: 'Reference' Scenarios each region is collected and presented in this deliverable, indicating the basis of the BBTs Assessment tool for each region.

Chapter 6: Conclusion: The last section summarises conclusions on BBTs Assessment tool and on next steps.

The deliverable also includes, as an **annex**, the guidelines written for partners on how to use the BBTs Assessment tool to create a 'Reference' Scenario.

2 Context of the BBTs Assessment tool in the project

The BBTs Assessment tool is an Excel file designed to consolidate data from various BBioNets resources and analyse it through its Power Pivot engine. This process enables the matching of the needs and resources of the Represented Regions (RR) collected in T1.2 with the available Bio-Based Technologies (BBT) mapped in T2.1, with the generation of a **suggested prioritisation of the most suitable BBTs for said region**. The tool considers both quantitative (investment costs, operational costs, processing capacity, etc.) and qualitative (added value, process complexity, etc.) variables to analyse cost/benefit for each BBTs.

The tool has been designed in such a way that the more information the user provides, the more accurate the results will be. In other words, the more accurate suggested prioritisation of BBTs would be obtained for each RR.

As illustrated in Figure 1, the BBTs Assessment tool will commence by incorporating data from tasks T1.2 and T2.1, namely the outputs of the Forestry and agricultural Network (FAN) workshop and the inventory of BBTs. This information, once introduced into the Excel tool, will facilitate the generation of a preliminary list with the suitability of the BBTs found until date, considering the current resources and needs of a single region, or 'Reference' Scenario. A total of six copies of the BBTs Assessment tool will be created, along with six distinct Represented Region-Specific Scenarios (RR-Specific Scenarios). These will contain information that will assist partners in identifying the BBTs that are most needed in each RR.




Figure 1. Relation of tasks and BBTs Assessment tool outputs per Represented Region.

Once the 'Reference' Scenario has been generated for each RR, the process of producing the Represented Region-Specific Scenarios will commence. To achieve this, the High-level study of regional dynamics (HLRD; T2.3) and the BBTs Assessment tool (T2.2) will be employed in a process of information sharing. Nevertheless, the RR-specific scenarios would not be finalised until they have undergone two levels of validation. Firstly, the FANs of each RR would validate them (T1.3). Secondly, the BBioNets Advisory Board would also give a validation. This validation will introduce a weighting factor in the Excel tool, which will enable the recalibration of the previous outputs. This will result in the generation of a final prioritisation list of BBTs, comprising at least five BBTs suitable for each RR.

Subsequently, these outputs will be collated by T2.4 in a roadmap for the implementation of the prioritised BBTs in each Represented Region.

The following section defines the 'Reference' Scenario, the RR-Specific Scenario and the validation.

2.1 Composition of the BBTs Assessment tool

The following section provide the logic of the tool by breaking it down into its three main parts, namely: 'Reference' Scenarios, RR-Specific Scenarios and 2.1.3 Validation of the RR-Specific Scenarios.

2.1.1 'Reference' Scenarios

The 'Reference' Scenarios is the first output of collection of information, and it is introduced in the BBTs Assessment tool in T2.2. This information is both the Inventory Knowledge collection, from T2.1 and the FAN Workshops outputs generated in T1.2 (Figure 2).




Figure 2. 'Reference' Scenario representation.

Prior conducting the FAN Workshops (T1.2) in each RR and the gathering information for the Inventory knowledge collection started (T2.1), the leaders of both tasks (Teagasc and CREA) met with MTU to align ideas and collect information that are also necessary for the implementation of the BBTs Assessment.

In the Table 1 it is represented, row by row, the relation of the fields from the Inventory knowledge collection, the questions from the FAN Workshops outputs and the BBTs Assessment tool's table. The name of each table and the information it stores is indicated.

In Section 5 there is collected the 'Reference' Scenario information per each RR in form of screenshots of the BBTs Assessment tool.

Table 1: Relation of resources for the creation of the 'Reference' Scenarios generated with the BBTs Assessment tool.

BBioNets Resources and Needs workshop results (T1.2)	BBioNets inventory and knowledge collection (T2.1)	BBTs Assessment tool	
<u>Question</u>	<u>Field</u>	<u>Information analysed</u>	<u>Table names</u>
FAN REGION	Region OG/PROJECT	Country / Region	n/a
Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?	Reference sector (NACE Section A - Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing; group level)	Type of feedstock processed by the BBT	T1.1_t
	Key Word (Guidelines on EIP OG)		T1.2_t
	Categories: Bioeconomy fields (Escobar & Lainbach, 2021)		T1.3_t
	Feedstock (Biomass / Biomass residues / wastes)		T1.4_t
	Value chains (Assessment: Feedstock are abundantly available or not)		T1.5_t
Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?	Description BBT (narrative synthesis)	Key words to search on inventory about the equipment/machinery used in the BBT and the "conditions" to use the BBT	T2.1_t
	Intended user / conditions of access. (narrative synthesis)		T2.2_t
Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?	Outcomes and final product (narrative synthesis)	Key words to search on inventory about the biomasses produced from the BBT	T3_t

Q4. What is your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)	Needs / Problem statement (narrative synthesis)	Problem statement/Context of the creation/use of the BBT with the biomass *Manual weightage added after human's analysis*	W4_t
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of farm size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha): e.g. 10 – 400 ha	Processing Capacity (T/day)	Quantity of feedstock to potentially be processed by the BBT. ** additional information is needed to be added for this **	T5.1_t
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of forest size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha):			T5.2_t
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: Average farm size (ha):			T5.3_t
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: Average forest size (ha):			T5.4_t
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: Region total farmed area (ha):			T5.5_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: Region total forest area (ha):			T5.6_t
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the short-term time (2 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €	Investment cost (€)	Investment costs (€)	T6.1_t
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the long-term time (5 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €			T6.2_t
Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology? Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).	Return of investment (€ or Year)	Return of investment (years)	T7_t
Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?	Type of partners	Stakeholders Involved	T8.1_t
Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory			T8.2_t
Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners.			T8.3_t
Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?	n/a *This information is useful for WP3*	n/a *This information is useful for WP3*	n/a

Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?	C Sink (Assessment on directly supporting CO ₂ sequestration (capturing and storage))	Environmental considerations of the BBT	T10.1_t
Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?	Sustainability – environment		T10.2_t
Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the unemployed, and the youth in this area?	Sustainability – socio	Benefits/impacts for society from implementing the BBT	T11_t
Q12 Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what improvements could be implemented to make the process more circular?	n/a *This information is useful for T2.4*	n/a *This information is useful for T2.4*	n/a
Q13 Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way?	n/a *This information is useful for T2.4*	n/a *This information is useful for T2.4*	n/a

2.1.2 RR-Specific Scenarios

The RR-Specific Scenarios is the second output of collection of information. A second layer of information is added to the BBTs Assessment tool in T2.2. This second layer of information is the High-level study of Regional Dynamics (Figure 3). Information from the HLRD study will be added to the BBTs Assessment tool during T2.4.




Figure 3. RR-Specific Scenario representation.

Prior conducting the definition of the HLRD, CTA and MTU met to align ideas and collect information, so the BBTs Assessment tool could analyse them.

As the HLRD gathers specific information from each region (economic indicators, characterization of the operational groups (OG) of the region, and the BBTs already established, etc.), which will help the BBTs Assessment tool during T2.4 improve the prioritisation of the suggested BBTs according to the region's capabilities.

2.1.3 Validation of the RR-Specific Scenarios (weightage)

The validation of the RR-Specific Scenarios comes from the need of verify with FAN members and the BBioNets Advisory Board (AB) the pre-selection of BBTs identified as most suitable for each region.

FAN's feedback will come from the T1.3, where inputs on currents variables and pre-selection of BBTs will be given. This will be interpreted by the BBTs Assessment tool as weightage information, that will serve as an input to the "Weight" Excel sheet. This weightage information will be obtained from further workshops celebrated in each region and implemented in the tool during T2.4. BBioNets Advisory Board feedback will come in the form of a revision of the BBTs identified per each region, gathered through a document that will be prepared for this purpose.

In the end, the validation phase will recalibrate said preliminary selection of BBTs through weightage and prioritisation, thus generating the final suggested prioritisation of the most suitable BBTs for each Represented Region (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Validation phase represented.

3 Methodology

To generate a suggested prioritisation of the most suitable BBTs for each RR, an Excel Tool template has been developed, which will be used in each region, hence, each RR will have their own BBTs Assessment tool copy. Eventually, these copies will be merged into one interactive file for public use.

The following subsections provide an explanation of the way this Excel tool template has been designed, its components and its interactions.

3.1 Conceptual design

The BBTs Assessment tool will work with 5 background sheets (Figure 5). First, the list of BBTs from the inventory (T2.1) is copied into the assessment tool in the “Inventory copy” sheet. At the same level, the answers from the FAN workshops (T1.2) are also copied into the “Workshop Answers” sheet. In the final version of the BBTs Assessment tool, in the “Weight” sheet, the weighting of preferred BBTs and variables from subsequent FAN workshops (T1.3) will be added. The fourth sheet, “Translate Tables”, is used by the partners to add additional information that will help to homogenise the different resources (inventory and workshops). Finally, in the final version of the BBTs Assessment tool, an extra sheet will be added to the tool to include information from the High-level study of Regional Dynamics.

The tool gathers all the background information in a series of tables in the “Data Model” sheet. This sheet is designed solely to have all background information formatted as Tables, so Power Pivot can read and work with the information. All calculations are made in the Power Pivot Data Model feature of MS Excel.

Finally, these calculations are reflected in the “Alignment” sheet. This sheet holds a manually built PivotTable with all the calculated fields, holding weightages per each BBT listed. Then these individual weights are added up per BBT and per effectiveness or cost, depending on which variables are considered for effectiveness and which are considered for cost. In the “Chart” sheet, these results are represented in a 2-D plot graph.

As mentioned above, this tool will hold more information as the project progresses. In Figure 6 it is represented which part of the model represents the information collected for the ‘Reference’ Scenario in contrast to the RR-Specific Scenarios and Validation. At the beginning of T2.2 it was developed the whole concept, development of the tool, inclusion of Inventory information, FAN workshop answers (T1.2), part of the weightages, and adding information manually in the “TranslateTables” sheet. When T2.4 starts, the tool will be updated and revised, weightages information will be added, as well as the inclusion of information from the HLRD study. This will generate the updated version of the list of BBTs prioritised per RR and a final chart.

The next subsections will delve into the specificities of each Excel sheet and how they interact with each other.




Figure 5. Concept design of the BBTs Assessment tool




Figure 6. BBTs Assessment tool concept regarding project tasks

3.2 Components of the tool

As previously stated, the tool utilises the Power Pivot engine to analyse the information. Microsoft defines Power Pivot as an Excel add-in that enables users to perform sophisticated data analysis and create complex data models. **In both Excel and Power Pivot, users can create Data Models, which are collections of tables with interrelated data¹.**

In this context, Power Pivot enables users to link information stored in Excel tables to generate automated, pre-defined analyses, pivot tables and charts, namely automatic output tables and charts.

The following subsections will first clarify the **type of tables contained in each Excel sheet, thus helping the BBioNets partner to understand and locate the relevant information from different resources**. On the other hand, the **Data Model created with Power Pivot is explained, giving details of how the tables interact with each other**.

Finally, for the purpose of this deliverable, it was created a methodology for navigating through these Excel sheets to create a 'Reference' Scenario, for partners to follow while using the BBTs Assessment tool during T2.2. These instructions are explained in Appendix I Guidelines for Reference Scenarios.

3.2.1 Excel sheets

The Excel file contains nine (9) Excel sheets so far: an Introduction sheet, a copy of the Inventory Knowledge Collection sheet, the Workshop Results sheet, the Association of Results sheet, the Weighting sheet, the Data Model sheet, the Alignment sheet, the Chart sheet and the Lists sheet.

The Table 2 clarifies the purpose of each Excel sheet and whether it is intended to be used during T2.2 or T2.4.

Table 2: Purpose of Excel sheets.

Excel Sheet name	Purpose	Used during T2.2?	Used during T2.4?
Introduction	Introduce the user to the BBTs Assessment tool	Yes	Yes
Inventory copy	This Excel sheet is designed to hold the most up-to-date information available from the Inventory Knowledge Collection (T2.1) and allow the tool to use it for analysis.	Yes	Yes
Workshop Answers	This Excel sheet holds the answers from the Resources and Needs workshop from 1 Represented Region	Yes	Yes*
TranslateTables	This Excel sheet will start to link the workshop outputs with the inventory of BBTs. It has 4 subsections, as this mapping or translation of outputs will be done in 4 different ways.	Yes	Yes*

¹ <https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/office/power-pivot-powerful-data-analysis-and-data-modeling-in-excel-a9c2c6e2-cc49-4976-a7d7-40896795d045#:~:text=Power%20Pivot%20is%20an%20Excel,rapidly%2C%20and%20share%20insights%20easily.>

Weight	This Excel sheet will contain the information from the validation workshops with the FANs (T1.3) and the Advisory Board. The aim is to recalibrate this preliminary version through weighting and prioritisation to produce the final proposed prioritisation of the most appropriate BBT for each Represented Region.	Only 1 table will be used	Yes*
Data Model	This Excel sheet contains the final tables that will feed into the Power Pivot model, with all the information automatically added from the other Excel sheets.	Yes	Yes*
Alignment	This Excel sheet is the result of the Power Pivot model after comparing information from the related tables and weights. It contains a pivot table with the results of the calculations.	Only preliminary info, not definite.	Yes
Chart	This Excel sheet represents the prioritised list of BBTs for the RR.	Only preliminary info, not definite.	Yes
Lists	This is an admin Excel sheet that holds static information used in all other Excel sheets and Power Pivot.	Yes	Yes

*This will be updated if needed for T2.4.

In the following subsections it will be explained the specific information that the PowerPivot Data Model uses from the Excel sheets.

3.2.1.1 “Inventory copy” sheet

The “Inventory copy” sheet is not a real table that Excel reads, it is just a copy of the Inventory Knowledge Collection (T2.1). For Power Pivot to use this information, areas of information in this sheet have been defined as 'names' to use the Inventory information by Inventory fields. In other words, the most relevant inventory fields (cell ranges) have been assigned a name to address their information for the calculation. The inventory fields selected for the tool has been:

- Reference Sector
- Processing Capacity
- Outcomes
- Needs and problem statements
- Key word
- Investment
- Intended user
- Description of the BBT
- BBT codes.

The screenshot below (Figure 7) shows the names created from the Inventory sheet and the cell ranges to which each name is assigned.




Figure 7. Screenshot of Excel's Name Manager with detail of the Names created in the Inventory sheet.

3.2.1.2 "Workshop Answers" sheet

As explained, this sheet holds the answers from the FAN workshops (T1.2), hence the tables are aligned with the questions asked in the workshops, to hold said answers. This sheet does hold real tables readable by Power Pivot (made by selecting an array of cells and then pressing with Ctrl + T). In Table 3 it is clarified the name of said tables and to which questions they relate to, and in Figure 8 it can be appreciated a screenshot of this sheet in the model.

Table 3. Table names in the Workshop

Table names in the "Workshop Answers" sheet	BBioNets FAN workshop (T1.2) questions
<i>Q1_t</i>	Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?
<i>Q2_t</i>	Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?
<i>Q3_t</i>	Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?
<i>Q4_t</i>	Q4. What is your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)
<i>Q5.1_t</i>	Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of farm size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha): e.g. 10 – 400 ha
<i>Q5.2_t</i>	Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of forest size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha):

Q5.3_t	Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: Average farm size (ha):
Q5.4_t	Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: Average forest size (ha):
Q5.5_t	Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: Region total farmed area (ha):
Q5.6_t	Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: Region total forest area (ha):
Q6.1_t	Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the short-term time (2 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €
Q6.2_t	Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the long-term time (5 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €
Q7_t	Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology? Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).
Q8.1_t	Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?
Q8.2_t	Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory
Q8.3_t	Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners.
Q9_t	Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?
Q10_t	Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?
Q11_t	Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the unemployed, and the youth in this area?
Q12_t	Q12 Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what improvements could be implemented to make the process more circular?
Q13_t	Q13, Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way?




Figure 8. Screenshot of the Workshop Answers sheet.

3.2.1.3 “TranslateTables” sheet

The association of the workshop outputs with the Inventory of BBTs will be done in 4 different ways, depending on the type of information. Partners will need to manually add additional information in this sheet. To make it visually readable, this sheet has been divided in 4 colour-coordinated sections:

- 1) Association of outputs by drop-down cells (Section 1, green). The user will need to interact with the tables in this section.
- 2) Association of outputs by adding extra information from a quick desk research study (Section 2, yellow). The user will need to interact with the tables in this section.
- 3) Association of outputs by typing key words to (Section 3, blue). The user will need to interact with the tables in this section.
- 4) Association of outputs automatically (Section “Automatic Tables”). The user has **not** to interact with the tables in this section. These tables are designed to process information from both workshop answers sheet, the weight sheet, and the other sections in this Translated Tables sheet.

In Table 4 it is clarified the association of information, and in Figure 9 it can be appreciated a screenshot of this sheet in the model.

Table 4. Association of information in “TranslateTables” sheet

Section and colour	Type of information	Table names	Associations	
			Source of information 1	Source of information 2
Section 1 – Green	Dropdown cells	T1.1_t	Q1 Answers	Reference sector
		T1.2_t	Q1 Answers	Key Word
		T1.3_t	Q1 Answers	Categories
		T1.4_t	Q1 Answers	Feedstock

		<i>T1.5_t</i>	Q1 Answers	Value chains
		<i>T8.1_t</i>	Q8.1 Answers: key stakeholders	Type of partners
		<i>T8.2_t</i>	Nature of collaboration	Type of partners
		<i>T8.3_t</i>	Type of collaboration	Type of partners
		<i>T10.1_t</i>	Q10 Answers	C Sink
		<i>T10.2_t</i>	Q10 Answers	Envi Sustainability
		<i>T11_t</i>	Q11 Answers	Socio Sustainability
Section 2 - Yellow	Adding extra information (yield of biomasses)	<i>T5.3_t</i>	Q5.3 Answers. National statistics data, Average farm size (ha):	Processing Capacity
		<i>T5.4_t</i>	Q5.4 Answers. National statistics data, Average Forest size (ha):	Processing Capacity
Section 3 - Blue	Narrative synthesis fields	<i>T2.1_t</i>	Q2 Answers	Description BBT
		<i>T2.2_t</i>	Q2 Answers	Intended user / conditions of access
		<i>T3_t</i>	Q3 Answers	Outcomes and final product
Section 4 - Brown	Automatic tables	<i>T5.1_t</i>	Q5.1 Answers	<i>Transformation of answers into numbers</i>
		<i>T5.2_t</i>	Q5.2 Answers	<i>Transformation of answers into numbers</i>
		<i>T5.5_t</i>	Q5.5 Answers. Region total farmed area (ha):	<i>T5.3_t</i>
		<i>T5.6_t</i>	Q5.6 Answers. Region total forest area (ha):	<i>T5.4_t</i>
		<i>T6.1_t</i>	Q6.1 Answers: Willingness of investment in the short-term time (2 years)	<i>W6.1_t</i>
		<i>T6.2_t</i>	Q6.2 Answers: Willingness of investment in the long-term time (5 years)	<i>W6.2_t</i>
		<i>T7_t</i>	Q7 Answers: Return on investment period (number of years)	<i>W7_t</i>
		<i>T2.1_a</i>	<i>T2.1_t</i>	Prioritisation of BBT Codes with regards to the info in <i>T2.1_t</i>

		<i>T2.2_a</i>	<i>T2.2_t</i>	Prioritisation of BBT Codes with regards to the info in <i>T2.2_t</i>
		<i>T3_a</i>	<i>T3_t</i>	Prioritisation of BBT Codes with regards to the info in <i>T3_t</i>
		<i>T5.3_a</i>	<i>T5.3_t</i>	Processing capacity
		<i>T5.4_a</i>	<i>T5.4_t</i>	Processing capacity
		<i>T6.1_a</i>	<i>T6.1_t</i>	Investment costs
		<i>T6.2_a</i>	<i>T6.2_t</i>	Investment costs
		<i>T7_a</i>	<i>T7_t</i>	Return of Investment



Figure 9. Screenshot of the Translate Table sheet

3.2.1.4 “Weight” sheet

This Excel sheet is only partially used for the ‘Reference’ Scenario, as it is intended to hold primarily information from T1.3 in the final versions for T2.4 analysis. The table named *W4_t* is the only one used for the ‘Reference’ Scenario creation, as it is only in this sheet where the answers from Question 4 from the FAN workshops (T1.2) are used. This sheet is used to give a weight to the different answers from the tables in “TranslateTables” sheet. At this stage, only weight of +1 or -1 is contemplated. A weightage of +1 on each BBT is to be added if the BBT problem statement meets one of the workshops needs. Otherwise, a weightage of -1 should be added on the BBT row.

After T1.3, these weightages will include more variability, as per FAN needs and AB feedback. Below, in Table 5 it is shown the names of the tables that hold the weights and how these relates to other information sheet.

Table 5: Weight tables and its relationship with other information.

Weight Table name	Information weighted from other sheets		
	Table names	Source of information 1	Source of information 2
<i>W1.1_t</i>	<i>T1.1_t</i>	Q1 Answers (Workshop Answers)	Reference sector (TranslateTables)
<i>W1.2_t</i>	<i>T1.2_t</i>	Q1 Answers (Workshop Answers)	Key Word (TranslateTables)
<i>W1.3_t</i>	<i>T1.3_t</i>	Q1 Answers (Workshop Answers)	Categories (TranslateTables)
<i>W1.4_t</i>	<i>T1.4_t</i>	Q1 Answers (Workshop Answers)	Feedstock (TranslateTables)
<i>W1.5_t</i>	<i>T1.5_t</i>	Q1 Answers (Workshop Answers)	Value chains (TranslateTables)
<i>W2.1_t</i>	<i>T2.1_a</i>	<i>T2.1_t</i> (TranslateTables)	Prioritisation of BBT Codes with regards to the info in <i>T2.1_t</i>
<i>W2.2_t</i>	<i>T2.2_a</i>	<i>T2.2_t</i> (TranslateTables)	Prioritisation of BBT Codes with regards to the info in <i>T2.2_t</i>
<i>W3_t</i>	<i>T3_a</i>	<i>T3_t</i> (TranslateTables)	Prioritisation of BBT Codes with regards to the info in <i>T3_t</i>
<i>W4_t</i>	n/a	Q4 Answers (Workshop Answers)	BBT needs/problem statement (Inventory_copy)
<i>W5.3_t</i>	<i>T5.3_a</i>	<i>T5.3_t</i> (TranslateTables)	Processing capacity (Inventory_copy)
<i>W5.4_t</i>	<i>T5.4_a</i>	<i>T5.4_t</i> (TranslateTables)	Processing capacity (Inventory_copy)
<i>W6.1_t</i>	<i>T6.1_a</i>	<i>T6.1_t</i> (TranslateTables)	Investment costs (Inventory_copy)
<i>W6.2_t</i>	<i>T6.2_a</i>	<i>T6.2_t</i> (TranslateTables)	Investment costs (Inventory_copy)
<i>W7_t</i>	<i>T7_a</i>	<i>T7_t</i> (TranslateTables)	Return of Investment (Inventory_copy)
<i>W10.1_t</i>	<i>T10.1_t</i>	Q10 Answers (Workshop Answers)	C Sink (Lists)
<i>W10.2_t</i>	<i>T10.2_t</i>	Q10 Answers (Workshop Answers)	Envi Sustainability (Lists)
<i>W11_t</i>	<i>T11_t</i>	Q11 Answers (Workshop Answers)	Socio Sustainability (Lists)

3.2.1.5 “Data Model” sheet

The “Data Model” sheet holds real tables, readable by Power Pivot. These tables are automatically filled from the other Excel sheets. The sheet is presented in 3 sections, depending on the source of the information:

- 1) Section 1 – Tables with information from the “Inventory” sheet. This also includes the information on the table in the “Lists” sheet for the Category field (read section 3.2.1.8). In this section the following tables were created:
 - a. Inventory1
 - b. TCat1
 - c. TCat2
 - d. TCat3
 - e. TCat4
- 2) Section 2 – Hold all tables from the “TranslatedTables” sheet.
- 3) Section 3 – Hold all tables from the “Weight” sheet.

3.2.1.6 “Alignment new” sheet

The “Alignment new” sheet is the result of Power Pivot model after comparing information from the related tables and the weightages.

This sheet holds a Pivot Table, i.e., a ‘summary’ table that gathers desired fields from related tables. These relations have been built in the Power Pivot Data Model (see section 3.2.2) with the objective to be able to hold in 1 table all weights and relate them to specific BBTs. This will lead to a different weightage assigned to each BBT and hence, to a prioritisation of them.

Both effectiveness and cost related variables are included in this “Alignment new” sheet, to generate a 2-D chart that will help prioritize the BBTs using both types of variables. See Table 6 to understand the relationship between the type of variables and the Inventory field analysed.

Table 6: Types of variables and fields analysed

Type of variable	Fields analysed
Effectiveness	Reference sector
	Key Word
	Feedstock
	Value chains
	Categories
	Intended user / conditions of access
	Outcomes and final product
	Processing Capacity

	C Sink
	Envi Sustainability
	Socio Sustainability
	Needs
Cost	Investment costs
	Return of investment

3.2.1.7 “Chart” sheet

The “Chart” sheet presents a table summarising the weights of BBTs per effectiveness and cost. This table is as well represented in a 2-D plot chart, i.e., a cost/effectiveness chart, that represents the BBTs prioritised against both variables, the cost variables are presented in the Y axis and the effectiveness variables are presented in the X axis. As previously mentioned, **the tool has been designed in a way that will generate more accurate results as the user provides more information**. At this stage, with only ‘Reference’ Scenario information, the chart does not provide the ultimate prioritisation of BBTs. In Section 4 there is a detailed explanation on how to interpret this 2-D plot chart.

3.2.1.8 “Lists” sheet

“Lists” sheet holds real tables readable by Power Pivot with information to be used in all sheets mentioned above, i.e., tables for the dropdown menus in “TranslateTables” sheet and a table that changes the way information is presented in the “Inventory_copy” sheet under the field “Categories”. The categories field in the inventory has been added by indicating an X on those columns a BBT could be categorized to. However, Power Pivot needs an intermediate transformation, as reading X is not compliant. This table in Lists Excel sheet makes this intermediate transformation.

3.2.2 Data Model (Power Pivot)

The BBTs Assessment Tool data model was developed using Power Pivot, following Microsoft's guidelines². A snowflake schema design was created in Power Pivot, as the name suggests, the design resembles a snowflake. This design has a central *fact* table, containing only values and foreign keys (data linked to another tables), which will be the centre of the snowflake. This table then is surrounded by several *dimension* tables and *dimension* sub-tables, which store descriptive information, providing context to values in the *fact* table, through foreign keys [1].

For the BBTs Assessment tool the *fact* table is Inventory1, in the “Data Model” sheet. The remaining tables in the “Data Model” sheet are considered *dimension* tables. In Figure 10 it can be appreciated the diagram view in the Power Pivot window, where table Inventory1 is the centre of the snowflake diagram, and the remaining tables (*dimension* tables) are connected to it by direct relations. The Figure

² <https://support.microsoft.com/en-gb/office/create-a-data-model-in-excel-87e7a54c-87dc-488e-9410-5c75dbcb0f7b#:~:text=Add%20existing%2C%20unrelated%20data%20to%20a%20Data%20Model&text=It%20can%20be%20any%20range,the%20Create%20PivotTable%20dialog%20box.>

11 shows a clarification of Figure 10, by identifying which tables come from the “TranslateTables” sheet, “Data model” Sheet or “Weight” sheet. The “Inventory” sheet provides information to these tables through the abovementioned Names, which provides the information of the BBTs to the other tables.




Figure 10. Screenshot of BBTs Assessment tool Power Pivot window.






Figure 11. Clarification of the Power Pivot s

nowflake diagram

4 Usage scenarios and functionalities of the tool

BBioNets will generate 6 BBTs Assessment tool Excel files, one per each Represented Region which will consolidate data from various BBioNets resources with its Power Pivot engine. The result is a **suggested prioritisation of the most suitable BBTs for said region.**

This prioritisation is made considering both quantitative (investment costs, operational costs, processing capacity, etc.) and qualitative (added value, process complexity, environmental, social and economic sustainability, etc.) variables to analyse their cost/benefit for each BBTs.

The tool has been designed in such a way that the more information the user provides, the more accurate the results will be. In other words, the more accurate suggested prioritisation of BBTs would be obtained for each RR.

As explained above in Section 2, at this point, in version 1, the BBTs Assessment tool only holds information for the 'Reference' Scenario. Once the tool has information from T2.3, T1.3 and the Advisory Board, the prioritisation of BBTs for each region will be more accurate for each region's needs. See Figure 12 for visual representation.




Figure 12. Representation of the layer of information for the BBTs Assessment tool for each region

The proposed prioritisation of the most appropriate BBTs for each region will be useful for primary producers (farmers and foresters) as target groups. This tool will include information from the FAN of each region. These FANs provide information on their needs, current resources and priorities and are therefore the main target group. In addition, their advisors, cooperatives, next network, research and policy makers can also benefit from the knowledge on which BBTs would be more successful in their region. This can be achieved through knowledge transfer, which will help to spread the knowledge of the benefits of implementing BBTs for the circular bioeconomy of their region, and through funding and investment opportunities, which will boost their implementation.

In a final stage, MTU will work with IUNG to evaluate the creation of an online interactive version of the BBTs Assessment tool, where all 6 tools will be integrated into the BBioNets platform, and anyone could consult the information of the tools freely and free of charge.

4.1 Interpretation of results

In addition, the tool provides the results in a 2-D plot graph, where BBTs are prioritised against both variables, with the cost variable on the Y axis and the effectiveness variable on the X axis. This means that BBTs that fall in the area to the top of the horizontal axis are cost increasing and the area to the right of the vertical axis is more effective or beneficial to the needs of the region. If a BBT falls in the lower right quadrant, the BBT would be more economical and more effective, but if it falls in the upper left quadrant, it would be less effective and less economical. The Figure 13 provides a visual guide to the interpretation of the 2-D plot.




Figure 13. Cost-Effectiveness 2-D plot interpretation guide. Adapted from [2].

This visual representation of the proposed prioritisation of BBTs will help users to quickly and clearly identify which BBTs would be more interesting for their region, depending on their needs.

5 ‘Reference’ scenario information

This section records the ‘Reference’ scenario information for each RR, using information from the FAN workshops (T1.2) and the Inventory of Knowledge collection (T2.1). This information has been revised by each Regional Partners for Forestry and Agriculture (RPFA) following the guidelines written on how to use the BBTs Assessment tool to create a 'Reference' scenario (See Appendix I Guidelines for 'Reference' Scenarios).

The ‘Reference’ Scenario information for each RR is presented as follows:

- Information for the Workshop “Answers” sheet.
- Information for the “TranslateTables” sheet.
- Information for the “Weight” sheet.



5.1 Czech Republic

5.1.1 Information for the “Workshop Answers” sheet.

FAN Region: Jihomoravský

FAN Country:	Czech Republic
FAN Region:	Jihomoravský

Q1 t

ID	Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?
1	Plant and forest wastes
2	Organic wastes from industrial production
3	Wastes from livestock production
4	Municipal organic waste
5	Fast-growing woody plants
6	Grape seeds
7	Residues (stillage (from distillery))
8	Pits
9	Sewage sludge
10	Used cooking oil

Q2 t

ID	Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?
1	Biogas stations
2	Biomass heating plants
3	Composting plants
4	Sugar production
5	Power plant
6	Dairies
7	Distilleries
8	Wineries
9	Wastewater treatment plants
10	
11	

Q3 t

Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?

ID

1	Biogas
2	Compost
3	Garden substrates
4	Mulch chips
5	Molasses
6	Carbon lime
7	Dried sugar cuttings
8	Electricity
9	Heat
10	Digestate
11	Heating pellets
12	Biofuels

Q4 t

Q4. What are your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources?
(identified needs should be ranked from high to low)

ID

1	A long-term survey carried out by ZERA identified problems related to insufficient technological interconnection between composting plants, biogas plants and wastewater treatment plants
2	Availability of biomass combustion boilers
3	Motivation of cider, distilleries and wineries to recover waste biomass in biogas plants, by incineration or composting
4	Use of gastro and kitchen waste for biogas and compost production
5	Promote wastewater treatment combined with algae cultivation for biomass
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	

Q5.1 t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?
Values provided by the FAN members: Range of **farm** size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha): e.g. 10 – 400 ha

ID

1	5.00 - 500.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.2 t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?
Values provided by the FAN members: Range of **forest** size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha):

ID

1	500.00 - 129000.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.3 t		Q5.4 t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: ID <input type="text"/> Average farm size (ha): <input type="text"/>		Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: ID <input type="text"/> Average forest size (ha): <input type="text"/>	
1	2.495	1	1000
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q5.5 t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Region total farmed area (ha):
1	356,511.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.6 t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Region total forest area (ha):
1	186,722.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q6.1 t	
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the short term time (2 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €	
ID	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q6.2 t	
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the long-term time (5 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €	
ID	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q7_t

Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology?
ID Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Q8.1_t

Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?

1 Innovation Broker
2 Agricultural practice consultancy
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Q8.2_t

Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Q8.3_t

Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Q9_t		Q10_t	
ID	Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?	ID	Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?
1		1	Intensive agricultural production is associated with the use of fertilisers and protective chemicals, substances whose production and consumption place a significant burden on the environment
2		2	Increase in average temperature: Climate change is leading to a gradual increase in temperature. This can have negative impacts on forest ecosystems, such as changes in tree species, the spread of pests and increased risk of fires.
3		3	Long-term drought: The South Moravian region suffers from insufficient rainfall, which affects water availability for forests and agriculture. Dry periods can lead to soil water loss and reduced crop yields.
4		4	Soil erosion: Intensive agriculture and inappropriate soil management can cause soil erosion. This adversely affects soil quality and crop productivity.
5		5	Extreme weather events: Heavy rains and strong winds can cause flooding, landslides and damage to forests. These events are becoming more frequent because of climate change.
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q11_t		Q12_t	
ID	Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the unemployed, and the youth in this area?	ID	Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what improvements could be implemented to make the process more efficient?
1		1	The current capacity of composting plants is not fully utilised, there is a lack of suitable facilities for sludge treatment and processing in the region, which would enable technological interconnection with composting plants
2		2	The use of kilns as a source of energy, their calorific value is similar to charcoal. A tonne of pellets has the calorific value of 500 m3 of natural gas.
3		3	The liquid residues have a low dry matter content, so it is advantageous to process them by anaerobic digestion in biogas plants. One tonne of digestate produces 60 m3 of biogas.
4		4	Suitability of the region for algal biomass cultivation
5		5	Use of biomass boilers
6		6	Cultivation of energy herbs and trees
7		7	Use of gastro and kitchen waste
8		8	Eliminate all negative effects of spontaneous fallow on fallow land, use or conserve the land appropriately
9		9	
10		10	
11			

Q13_t

ID Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way

1 Inclusion of a hygiene level in composting plants for the possibility of composting gastro and kitchen waste

2 Incineration or co-incineration of stones for energy and heat production.

3 Use of liquid digestate for biogas production in biogas plants

4 Subsidies for biomass combustion boilers

5 Joint collection of gastro and kitchen waste

6 Utilisation of produced dendro-mass, which remains unused for technological reasons, in various stages of production and processing of wood.

7 Use of agricultural drones to monitor the condition of plants and more accurately apply fertilisers and to locate game at harvest time.

8

9

10



FAN Region: Vysočina

FAN Country:	Czech Republic
FAN Region:	Vysočina

Q1 t

ID Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?

1	Plant and forest wastes
2	Organic wastes from industrial production
3	Wastes from livestock production
4	Municipal organic waste
5	Fast-growing woody plants
6	Grape seeds
7	Residues (stillage (from distillery))
8	Pits
9	Sewage sludge
10	Used cooking oil

Q2 t

ID Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?

1	Biogas stations
2	Biomass heating stations
3	Composting plants
4	Power plant
5	Gristmills
6	Distilleries
7	Wineries
8	Wastewater treatment plants
9	
10	
11	

Q3 t		Q4 t	
ID	Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?	ID	Q4. What are your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)
1	Biogas		Building a network of buyers for compost from composting plants, as compost is almost unmarketable or very little used in the Highlands. Farmers in the region have enough manure due to livestock production and do not need compost. However, it is suitable, among others, for areas in drinking water protection zones or protected landscape areas, of which there are many in the region.
2	Compost	2	Use of food waste and kitchen waste for biogas and compost production
3	Garden substrates	3	
4	Mulch chips	4	
5	Electricity	5	
6	Heat	6	
7	Digestate	7	
8	Heating pellets	8	
9	Biofuels	9	
10		10	
11		11	
12			

Q5.1 <small>t</small>		Q5.2 <small>t</small>	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of farm size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha); e.g. 10 – 400 ha		Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of forest size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha);	
ID		ID	
1	5.00 - 500.00	1	500.00 - 73000.00
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q5.3. t		Q5.4. t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: ID <input type="text"/> Average farm size (ha): <input type="text"/>		Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: ID <input type="text"/> Average forest size (ha): <input type="text"/>	
1	51.00	1	2000
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q5.5 t		Q5.6 t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: ID <input type="text"/> Region total farmed area (ha): <input type="text"/>		Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data: ID <input type="text"/> Region total forest area (ha): <input type="text"/>	
1	365,068.00	1	196,670.00
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q6.1_t		Q6.2_t	
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the short term time (2 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €		Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the long-term time (5 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €	
ID		ID	
1		1	
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q7. t		Q8.1 t	
Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology?		Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?	
ID	Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).	ID	
1		1	Innovation Broker
2		2	Agricultural practice consultancy
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q8.2_t				Q8.3_t	
ID	Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory			ID	Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners.
1				1	
2				2	
3				3	
4				4	
5				5	
6				6	
7				7	
8				8	
9				9	
10				10	

Q9_t		Q10_t	
ID	Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?	ID	Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?
1		1	Intensive agricultural production is associated with the use of fertilisers and protective chemicals, substances whose production and consumption place a significant burden on the environment
2		2	Increase in average temperature: Climate change is leading to a gradual increase in temperature. This can have negative impacts on forest ecosystems, such as changes in tree species, the spread of pests and increased risk of fires.
3		3	Higher average temperature results in higher evaporation of water from soil, vegetation and water levels, contributing to a negative hydrological balance in the area. Flows in watercourses in the Highlands can be assessed as slightly below normal.
4		4	Soil erosion: Intensive agriculture and inappropriate soil management can cause soil erosion. This has an adverse effect on soil quality and crop productivity.
5		5	Extreme weather events: Heavy rains and strong winds can cause flooding, landslides and damage to forests. These events are becoming more frequent because of climate change.
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q11, t		Q12, t	
ID <input type="text"/> Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the unemployed, and the youth in this area? <input type="text"/>		ID <input type="text"/> Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what improvements could be implemented to make the process more circular? <input type="text"/>	
1		The use of pellets as an energy source, their calorific value is similar to charcoal. A tonne of pellets has the calorific value of 500 m3 of natural gas.	
2		1 Liquid residues have a low dry matter, so it is advantageous to process them by anaerobic fermentation in biogas plants. One tonne of 2 digestate produces 60 m3 of biogas.	
3		Use of biomass boilers	
4		3 Cultivation of energy herbs and trees	
5		4 Use of gastro and kitchen waste	
6		5 Eliminate all negative effects of spontaneous fallow on fallow land, and use or conserve the land appropriately	
7		6	
8		7	
9		8	
10		9	
11		10	

Q13_t	
ID	Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way?
1	Inclusion of a hygiene level in composting plants for the possibility of composting gastro and kitchen waste
2	Incineration or co-incineration of stones for energy and heat production
3	Use of liquid digestate for biogas production in biogas plants
4	Subsidies for biomass combustion boilers
5	Joint collection of gastro and kitchen waste
6	Utilisation of the dendro-mass produced, which remains unused for technological reasons, in various stages of production and processing of wood
7	The use of agricultural drones to monitor the condition of plants and more accurately apply fertilisers and to locate game at harvest time
8	Strict adherence to composting technology to destroy the germination capacity of weeds
9	
10	

5.1.2 Information for the “TranslateTables” sheet.

FAN Region: Jihomoravský

SECTION 1 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM DROPDOWN FIELDS IN THE

T11.t

Q1 Answers	Reference sector
Plant and forest wastes	A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities
Organic wastes from industrial production	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Wastes from livestock production	A1.4Animal production
Municipal organic waste	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Fast-growing woody plants	A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities
Grape seeds	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Residues {stillage (from distillery)}	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Pits	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Sewage sludge	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Used cooking oil	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities

T12.t

Q1 Answers	Key Word
Plant and forest wastes	Forestry
Organic wastes from industrial production	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
Wastes from livestock production	Animal husbandry
Municipal organic waste	Rural issues
Fast-growing woody plants	Outdoor horticulture and woody crops (incl. viticulture, olives, fruit, ornamentals)
Grape seeds	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
Residues {stillage (from distillery)}	Circular economy, incl. waste, by-products and residues
Pits	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
Sewage sludge	Rural issues
Used cooking oil	Rural issues

T13.t

Q1 Answers	Categories
Plant and forest wastes	Crop residues and perennial plants F1
Organic wastes from industrial production	Biorefineries C2
Wastes from livestock production	Waste or recycled material FC
Municipal organic waste	Waste or recycled material FC
Fast-growing woody plants	Crop residues and perennial plants F1
Grape seeds	Crop residues and perennial plants F1
Residues {stillage (from distillery)}	Waste or recycled material FC
Pits	Crop residues and perennial plants F1
Sewage sludge	Waste or recycled material FC
Used cooking oil	Waste or recycled material FC

[illegible]

	In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options as low, medium, or high potential of carbon sequestration as low, medium, or high potential of carbon emissions. The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.
<i>T10.2.t</i>	
Q10 Answers	C Sink
Intensive agricultural production is associated with the use of fertilisers and protective chemicals, substances whose production and consumption place a significant burden on the environment	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).
Increase in average temperature: Climate change is leading to a gradual increase in temperature. This can have negative impacts on forest ecosystems, such as changes in tree species, the spread of pests and increased risk of fires.	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).
Long-term drought: The South Moravian region suffers from insufficient rainfall, which affects water availability for forests and agriculture. Dry periods can lead to soil water loss and reduced crop yields.	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).
Soil erosion: Intensive agriculture and inappropriate soil management can cause soil erosion. This adversely affects soil quality and crop productivity.	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.
Extreme weather events: Heavy rains and strong winds can cause flooding, landslides and damage to forests. These events are becoming more frequent because of climate change.	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.

In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options are low, medium, or high potential of environmental benefits as low, medium, or high potential of environmental impacts. The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.

T10.2.1

Q10 Answers

Envi Sustainability

Intensive agricultural production is associated with the use of fertilisers and protective chemicals, substances whose production and consumption place a significant burden on the environment

Increase in average temperature: Climate change is leading to a gradual increase in temperature. This can have negative impacts on forest ecosystems, such as changes in tree species, the spread of pests and increased risk of fires.

Long-term drought: The South Moravian region suffers from insufficient rainfall, which affects water availability for forests and agriculture. Dry periods can lead to soil water loss and reduced crop yields.

Soil erosion: Intensive agriculture and inappropriate soil management can cause soil erosion. This adversely affects soil quality and crop productivity.

Extreme weather events: Heavy rains and strong winds can cause flooding, landslides and damage to forests. These events are becoming more frequent because of climate change.

3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.

3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.

3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.

2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 environmental benefits.

1 - Low potential - doesn't bring any environmental benefits.

T11.1

Q11 Answers

Socio Sustainability

SECTION 2 - ADDING EXTRA INFORMATION TO TRANSLATE VALUES FROM WORKSHOP ANSWERS

[illegible][illegible]

SECTION 3 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS

Q2 Answers	Key word to find in "Description BBT"
Biogas stations	biogas
Biomass heating plants	heat
Composting plants	compost
Sugar production	sugar
Power plant	power
Dairies	dairy
Distilleries	distilling
Wineries	wine
Wastewater treatment plants	wastewater

Q2 Answers	Key word to find in "Intended user / conditions of access"
Biogas stations	gas
Biomass heating plants	heat
Composting plants	fertiliser
Sugar production	sugar
Power plant	energy
Dairies	milk
Distilleries	spirit
Wineries	wine
Wastewater treatment plants	wastewater

Q3 Answers	Key word to find in "Outcomes and final product"
Biogas	gas
Compost	compost
Garden substrates	grass
Mulch chips	chip
Molasses	sugar
Carbon lime	carbon
Dried sugar cuttings	sugar
Electricity	energy
Heat	heat
Digestate	digestate
Heating pellets	pellet
Biofuels	fuel

SECTION 1 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM DROPDOWN FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

[illegible][illegible]

T13.1		T14.1		T15.1	
Q1 Answers	Categories	Q1 Answers	Feedstock	Q1 Answers	Value chains
Plant and forest wastes	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Plant and forest wastes	Biomass	Plant and forest wastes	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.
Organic wastes from industrial production	Biorefineries C2	Organic wastes from industrial production	Wastes	Organic wastes from industrial production	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.
Wastes from livestock production	Waste or recycled material FC	Wastes from livestock production	Wastes	Wastes from livestock production	1- Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Municipal organic waste	Waste or recycled material FC	Municipal organic waste	Wastes	Municipal organic waste	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.
Fast-growing woody plants	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Fast-growing woody plants	Biomass	Fast-growing woody plants	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.
Grape seeds	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Grape seeds	Biomass residues	Grape seeds	1- Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Residues {stillage (from distillery)}	Waste or recycled material FC	Residues {stillage (from distillery)}	Biomass residues	Residues {stillage (from distillery)}	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.
Pits	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Pits	Biomass residues	Pits	1- Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Sewage sludge	Waste or recycled material FC	Sewage sludge	Wastes	Sewage sludge	1- Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Used cooking oil	Waste or recycled material FC	Used cooking oil	Wastes	Used cooking oil	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.

[illegible][illegible]

							In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options as low, medium, or high potential of carbon sequestration as low, medium, or high potential of carbon emissions . The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.
<i>T8.L1</i>	<i>T8.S1</i>	<i>T8.S1</i>			<i>T10.L1</i>		
Q8.1 Answers: key stakeholders	Nature of collaboration	Type of collaboration	Type of partners		Q10 Answers	C Sink	
Innovation Broker			Other		Intensive agricultural production is associated with the use of fertilisers and protective chemicals, substances whose production and consumption place a significant burden on the environment	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).	
Agricultural practice consultancy			Advisor		Increase in average temperature: Climate change is leading to a gradual increase in temperature. This can have negative impacts on forest ecosystems, such as changes in tree species, the spread of pests and increased risk of	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).	
					Higher average temperature results in higher evaporation of water from soil, vegetation and water levels, contributing to a negative hydrological balance in the area. Flows in watercourses in the Highlands can be assessed as slightly below normal.	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).	
					Soil erosion: Intensive agriculture and inappropriate soil management can cause soil erosion. This has an adverse effect on soil quality and crop productivity.	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.	
					Extreme weather events: Heavy rains and strong winds can cause flooding, landslides and damage to forests. These events are becoming more frequent because of climate change.	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.	

	In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options as low, medium, or high potential of environmental benefits as low, medium, or high potential of environmental impacts . The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.	
T10.9.1	<p>Q10 Answers</p> <p>Envi Sustainability</p> <p>Intensive agricultural production is associated with the use of fertilisers and protective chemicals; substances whose production and consumption place a significant burden on the environment</p> <p>Increase in average temperature: Climate change is leading to a gradual increase in temperature. This can have negative impacts on forest ecosystems, such as changes in tree species, the spread of pests and increased risk of fires.</p> <p>Higher average temperature results in higher evaporation of water from soil, vegetation and water levels, contributing to a negative hydrological balance in the area. Flows in watercourses in the Highlands can be assessed as slightly below normal.</p> <p>Soil erosion: Intensive agriculture and inappropriate soil management can cause soil erosion. This has an adverse effect on soil quality and crop productivity.</p> <p>Extreme weather events: Heavy rains and strong winds can cause flooding, landslides and damage to forests. These events are becoming more frequent because of climate change.</p>	<p>T11.1</p> <p>Q11 Answers</p> <p>Socio Sustainability</p>
	<p>3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.</p> <p>3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.</p> <p>3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.</p> <p>2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 environmental benefits.</p> <p>1 - Low potential - doesn't bring any environmental benefits.</p>	

[illegible]

SECTION 3 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTOR

T2.1.e

Q2 Answers	Key word to find in "Description BBT"
Biogas stations	biogas
Biomass heating stations	heat
Composting plants	compost
Power plant	energy
Gristmills	mill
Distilleries	distilling
Wineries	wine
Wastewater treatment plants	wastewater

T2.2.e

Q2 Answers	Key word to find in "Intended user / conditions of access"
Biogas stations	gas
Biomass heating stations	heat
Composting plants	fertiliser
Power plant	energy
Gristmills	mill
Distilleries	spirit
Wineries	wine
Wastewater treatment plants	wastewater

T2.e

Q3 Answers	Key word to find in "Outcomes and final product"
Biogas	gas
Compost	compost
Garden substrates	grass
Mulch chips	chip
Electricity	energy
Heat	heat
Digestate	digestate
Heating pellets	pellet
Biofuels	fuel

5.1.3 Information for the “Weight” sheet

FAN Region: Jihomoravský

Workshop answers regarding processing needs (as visual reference only)		Inventory answers to BBTs needs/problems statement		Manually add weight to BBTs after reading the workshop answers
ID	processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)	BBT CODES	BBT NEEDS/PROBLEM STATEMENT	Weight
	A long-term survey carried out by ZERA identified problems related to insufficient technological interconnection between composting plants, biogas plants and wastewater treatment plants	IE10-Biorefinery Glas/GLAS	To improve the sustainability, value and resource efficiency of Ireland's livestock sector through farmer diversification into the bioeconomy and to assess the potential role of grass biorefinery in supporting sustainable and resilient communities in rural Ireland.	-1
	2 Availability of biomass combustion boilers	IT11-FABER/FABER	Forest biomass and its management to address climate change and GHG emissions.	-1
	Motivation of cider, distilleries and wineries to recover waste biomass in biogas plants, by incineration or composting	FI12-ForestChip4Farm/FC4FH	Preventing climate change, increasing bioenergy in rural areas, decreasing CO2 emissions from farms and the food chain, promoting a sustainable food chain, and developing new innovations and products.	1
	3 Use of gastro and kitchen waste for biogas and compost production	DE13-Lignocellulosic Biorefinery/LIGNO	To valorize the lignocellulosic residues (meadow grass) from farms in a sustainable way.	-1
	4 Promote wastewater treatment combined with algae cultivation for biomass	EL14-BIO2CHP/B2CHP	bypasses technical limitations & allows the use of residual biomass for small-scale & on-site energy production	1
	5	IT15-Scarabeo/HMP2C	There is a need for sustainable and efficient methods in the hemp supply chain to increase quality, valorize and reduce energy consumption.	-1
	6	HU16-REFERTIL/3R2RO	Recycling and valorization of un-exploited farm and animal by-products	1
	7	IT17-Mountain Carbon/MINTNC	to help improve the management of the organic matter (OM) from dairy cattle manure in the mountain areas	1
	8	EE18-Hay Biosyngas/HAYBG	a cost-effective and efficient way to produce bio-coal from late harvested hay pellets to benefit small and medium-sized entrepreneurs	1
	9		to produce and use the gasification gas to boost methane formation in the biogas process, and simultaneously produce biochar, and the use of woody materials in biogas energy production without increasing the amount of digestate from the biogas process	1
	10	FI19-Wood2Biogas/Wd2BG	The accumulation of low economic value woody/shrub biomass in mountain areas poses a significant threat as it increases the challenges in efficiently drying alfalfa for animal feed, and a need to utilize manure and shredded wood from hedgerows	1
	11	IT20-Clean-ER/CLINR	The unutilised biomass is left to decay which returns carbon dioxide to the atmosphere while also control of vegetation, by herbicides	-1
		FR21-SeCoPPA/IALFLF	The intensification of Irish agriculture, particularly in dairying, has raised concerns about its environmental impact as it is a sign of displacement of fossil based products with bio-based alternatives, while offering rural diversification opportunities.	-1
		IE22-BBFBI/CHAR	Valorization of roadside grass clippings and improving its digestion with other feedstock, as monodigestion of grass can be technically challenging	-1
		IE23-SBDPI/BGAS	A bio-based technology that supports valorizing, recycling and recovering of resources by providing a versatile and easy to implement technology	1
		DE24-GrassBlower/BWERT	The grass from protected wetland areas in the polder meadows is heterogeneous, in parts strongly lignified (rigid) and its nutrients are low	-1
		BE25-Grassification/GRSFY	Low quality natural and roadside grass are used for low added value applications such as compost which also includes costs of collection and transport	-1
		FR26-Pyrogreen/PYROG	The conversion of annual crops such as maize, rapeseed and cereals into grassland can significantly reduce nitrate leaching	-1
		DE27-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	changing the paradigm of production and consumption of fossil-based composites and materials, such as plastics, by providing a sustainable alternative	-1
		NL28-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	Since nowadays wood is getting more scarce caused by the growing demand in material and the energetic use, alternative sources of energy are needed	1
		DK29-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	in Europe small-scale combustion units (20 to 200 kW) are used almost only with high quality wood fuels, and nowadays wood is becoming more expensive	1
		PT30-Spawfoam/SPAWN	Agricultural waste such as tomato and wheat wastes are not used, which has an environmental impact and a management cost	1
		DE31-MixBioPells/PLTIZ	To enhance the value of local raw materials to meet the increasing demand from consumers who are interested in buying local products	-1
		DE32-MixBioPells/PLTTHP	Waste recovery of cattle manure and other organic waste, to increase the biological and physical-chemical quality of agricultural products	1
		DE33-BIOlution/BIOLT	a higher percentage of organic matter, a better soil structure and more binding of nutrients in the soil, a problem is formulated for the agricultural sector	-1
		FR34-GRANUL/HAIE/WdPLT	to valorize agrofood biomass beyond the typical low added value applications such as fertilizer or biomass for digesters	1
		ES35-Bioferti+IBFTZ+	There is an undisputed and urgent need to decarbonise the European transport sector and seaweed can be a sustainable bio-based alternative	1
		NL36-ManurePellet/MnPLT		
		IT37-BIOECO_FLIES/W2BSF		
		DK38-Macrofuels/CWEED		



DK38-Macrofuels/CWEED	There is an undisputed and urgent need to decarbonise the European transport sector and seaweed can be a sustainable bio-	1
IT39-Res4Carbon/RES4C	to define the best practices to guarantee maximum technical, economic and environmental efficiency in the processing, handling	1
FR40-SIVABA/WdPwr	The need to better articulate the various links in the wood energy sector in order to increase its visibility vis-à-vis potential co	1
BE41-BierbeekCHIP/Wd2CN	to valorise the woody material coming from the municipal hollow roads and the wood edges after shredding on the local field	-1
LT42-cogeneration residue/Res2F	a need to develop a production technology for a new type of fertilizer using wood ashes and digestate	-1
IT43-CAREGA/4COAL	a gradual abandonment of the forests and a progressive decrease in commercial relations between forest owners, forestry cor	-1
IT44-COBRAF/COBRA	develop an articulated system of biorefineries that allows maximum exploitation of the biomass of oil crops (hemp, safflower	1
IT45-Stabilized Litter/StbMn	the impact of stabilized litter obtained from the solid/liquid separation of slurry subjected to a process of sanitation and stab	1
IT46-BIOACTAM/BIOAA	to develop and validate a new generation of products, based on the partial pyrolysis of ligno-cellulosic biomass deriving from	1
PT47-GOEfluentes /GoEFt	increase the efficiency of water and nutrient utilization, reduce the environmental impact of farming and add value to agricult	-1
ES48-INCREdible/RESIN	addressing challenges faced by resin as a non-wood forest product	-1
LV49-WoodResidueLV/W2IHF	developing innovative technological solutions to reclamation of wood processing by-products, further processing and adding v	-1
IT50-RBR-EAS/BCH4R	using different residual biomass with energy purposes (biofuel production), agricultural (production of fertilizer) and food (die	1
AT-Closing cycles/NTREC	Farms need a reasonably closed nutrient cycle to recover energy and resources	-1
PL-BIOGAL/BIOGL	Livestock sector has several challenges because of the management of the resources and wastes produced. Manure is one of the	1
ES-LIFE Smart Fertirrigation/FRTGN	pig meat production generates large amounts of manure leading to important environmental problems and many anaerobic di	1
BE-DIGESMART /DIGST	Biogas production is efficient at reducing agricultural emission by converting the biomass into electricity and thermal energy (e	1
NL-VORTEX/VORTX	Manure stripping innovation for efficiency and cost	-1
NL-Manure Evaporation/EVAPR	Different manure processing techniques are already available and the thick fraction can be well tolerated. However, the reduc	-1
DE-Manure Efficiency/MNURE	to develop a procedure for liquid slurry processing for agricultural enterprises, with which slurry and manure can be used to pr	-1
SE-Manure Refining/MNRRF	to produce concentrated, transport-efficient fertilizers from biogas plant that produces large amounts of digested manure, as v	-1
BE-HIATUS/WATER	Almost every year, farmers face water shortages due to drought. Therefore, they are looking for alternatives for this valuable w	-1
ES-GO IMECO/PgSLR	slurry management and treatment system for ensuring the product generated in pig farms is more competitive and has a lower	1
FI-LEX4BIO/BBFRT	reducing dependency on mineral and fossil-based fertilizers by optimizing the use of bio-based fertilizers (BBFs)	-1
IT-ProBEST/BARK	In the production of forest wood fuels, the presence of bark and twigs must be limited. these can be chipped and delivered, es	-1
IT-ProBEST/ASHES	In the production of forest wood fuels, the incombustible elements (ash) must be limited. these can be delivered, essentially	-1
IT-FiLeProPri/WSC	Face the problem of the socio-economic marginality of wood production in private property.	-1
IT-ROSAEXTREM/NRH	Encourage the restructuring of farms with structural problems considerable	-1
IT-M.ER.LI.n/MERL	Analyze needs of partner companies to identify implementation opportunities innovative solutions that are energetically sust	-1
IT-INNOVABIOZOO/INZOO	The project consists of a series of actions divided into the following areas work: preparatory phase, coordination and animatic	-1
ES-AgriCarbón/AC	In Andalusia, agricultural activities play an important role in the socio-economic development which generates contamination	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/BYPRO	Promotes possible alternatives for the valorisation of avocado by-products by studying the characterisation of their nutritional	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88% of	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generat	-1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	-1
ES-BIOSUERO/WHEY	In Andalusia, it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 L of milk, it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 L of v	-1
ES-Biochar/BIOCH	The project is mainly focused on the Western Coast of Huelva (Ayamonte, Isla Cristina, Lepe, Cartaya, Gibralfuente, Punta Umbria	-1
ES-BIORUMIOLI/FEED	Need to increase the profitability of livestock farms and create an alternative for the olive oil industry by achieving a more effi	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIVE	The olive-mill wastewater constitute one of the most important environmental problems in olive cooperatives, since they are	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIV2	The reuse and recovery of olive by-products are essential to reduce environmental pollution and contribute to increasing the e	-1
CZ-TJ02000130/	Among the difficult tasks are the application of fugate (a by-product of biogas production) and the dependence on peat (a non	-1
CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and we	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is qu	-1



ES-AgriCarbón/AC	In Andalusia, agricultural activities play an important role in the socio-economic development which generates contamination	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/BYPRO	Promotes possible alternatives for the valorisation of avocado by-products by studying the characterisation of their nutritional	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88% of	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generated	-1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	-1
ES-BIOSUERO/WHEY	In Andalusia, it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 L of milk, it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 L of whey	-1
ES-Biochar/BIOCH	The project is mainly focused on the Western Coast of Huelva (Ayamonte, Isla Cristina, Lepe, Cartaya, Gibraleón, Punta Umbría)	-1
ES-BIORUMIOLI/FEED	Need to increase the profitability of livestock farms and create an alternative for the olive oil industry by achieving a more efficient	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIVE	The olive-mill wastewater constitute one of the most important environmental problems in olive cooperatives, since they are not	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIV2	The reuse and recovery of olive by-products are essential to reduce environmental pollution and contribute to increasing the efficiency	-1
CZ-TJ02000130/	Among the difficult tasks are the application of fugate (a by-product of biogas production) and the dependence on peat (a non	-1
CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and we	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is quite	-1
CZ-TH03030319/	Efficient use of processed field by-products.	-1
CZ-QK1710379/	Use of sewage sludge as a valuable waste raw material in agriculture.	-1
CZ-TH02030681/	The establishment of field crops (maize) is currently carried out in the form of monoculture. This causes surface runoff of rainwater	-1
CZ-TH02030925/	Increasing the composting rate, especially when processing bulky materials (urban green waste, sludge), which are increasing in	1
CZ-SS06020282/	Conventional mechanical recycling of biopolymers is currently not a technologically feasible process, as their products often end	-1
CZ-TK04010166/	There is a need to update biomass potential in the light of changing factors affecting land use and biomass yields, including the	-1
CZ-FW06010358/	Reduction of the consumption of liquid substances (including liquid fertilisers) per unit area, in particular better use of these	-1
EL11-HIPO-ENERGY/NUTRI	- management of Hippophae leaves, which are cultivated only for the harvesting of the fruit- existing studies highlight the nutritional	-1
EL12-AEIΦOPIKA KHΠEYTIKA/OREG	control of downy mildew (caused by soil fungi with negative effects in the production) in the context of the circular economy and	-1
EL13-OLIHERRB/OLIVE	management of the significant quantities of olive leaves produced as by-products during cultivation (pruning), harvesting of olive	-1
EL14-BioAnimalChar/PIG	cost and quality of pig feed	1
EL15-COMPOST-INNO/COMPO	- lack of sufficient amounts of organic waste in the cultivation sites - distance of the sources of organic matter from the places	1
EL16-Compo - Lavender/COLAV	increase of the production and the quality of lavender oil	-1
EL17-AGROSCHOOLBUS.BIO/RESOL	Sustainable management of the residues (branches and leaves), pruning of the olive tree (and other productive trees).	-1
EL18-SoilCircle/	Due to the negative effects on crop growth and the environment from the use of synthetic fertilisers, it is recommended to apply	-1
EL19-YTEIAPTOS/YOGO	Exploitation of both goat milk and espresso coffee residues	-1
EL20-Innovative Rice Residue Management Pract	Handling plant residues remaining in the rice fields after harvesting. Their burning was banned because of the reduction in or	-1
EL21-EΛAIΩNAΣ/OLFER	Management of waste and wastewater generated during the olive farming and olive oil production processes	-1
PL91-OrzechDębu/ACORN	Changing trends in consumer attitudes and food preferences towards reducing the consumption of meat and animal products.	-1
PL93-GRIST/GRIST	Organic grain production and processing of brewery residues into meal, using an innovative dehydration method	-1
pl94-Owoce 4.0/Owoce 4.0	Development of technology dedicated to currant plantations	-1
	0	0
	-	-



FAN Region: Vysočina

Workshop answers regarding processing needs (as visual reference only)		Inventory answers to BBTs needs/problems statement		Manually add weight to BBTs after reading the workshop answers
<p><i>note</i></p> <p>processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)</p> <p>1 Building a network of buyers for compost from composting plants, as compost is almost unmarketable or very little used in the Highlands. Farmers in the region have enough manure due to livestock production and do not need compost. However, it is suitable, among others, for areas in drinking water protection zones or protected landscape areas, of which there are many in the region.</p> <p>2 Use of food waste and kitchen waste for biogas and compost production</p> <p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p>		<p>BBT CODES</p> <p>BBT NEEDS/PROBLEM STATEMENT</p> <p>Weight</p>		
		IE10-Biorefinery Glas/GLAS	To improve the sustainability, value and resource efficiency of Ireland's livestock sector through farmer diversification into the bioeconomy and to assess the potential role of grass biorefinery in supporting sustainable and resilient communities in rural Ireland.	1
		IT11-FABER/FABER	Forest biomass and its management to address climate change and GHG emissions.	-1
		FI12-ForestChip4Farm/FC4FH	Preventing climate change, increasing bioenergy in rural areas, decreasing CO2 emissions from farms and the food chain, promoting a sustainable food chain, and developing new innovations and products.	-1
		DE13-Lignocellulosic Biorefinery/LIGNO	To valorize the lignocellulosic residues (meadow grass) from farms in a sustainable way.	1
		EL14-BIO2CHP/B2CHP	bypasses technical limitations & allows the use of residual biomass for small-scale & on-site energy production	-1
		IT15-Scarabeo/HMP2C	There is a need for sustainable and efficient methods in the hemp supply chain to increase quality, valorize and reduce energy consumption.	1
		HU16-REFERTIL/3R2RO	Recycling and valorization of un-exploited farm and animal by-products	1
		IT17-Mountain Carbon/MNTNC	to help improve the management of the organic matter (OM) from dairy cattle manure in the mountain areas	1
		EE18-Hay Biosyngas/HAYBG	a cost-effective and efficient way to produce bio-coal from late harvested hay pellets to benefit small and medium-sized entrepreneurs	-1
		FI19-Wood2Biogas/Wd2BG	to produce and use the gasification gas to boost methane formation in the biogas process, and simultaneously produce biochar, and the use of woody materials in biogas energy production without increasing the amount of digestate from the biogas process	-1
		IT20-Clean-ER/CLINR	The accumulation of low economic value woody/shrub biomass in mountain areas poses a significant threat as it increases the challenges in efficiently drying alfalfa for animal feed, and a need to utilize manure and shredded wood from hedgerows	-1
		FR21-SeCoPPA/IALFLF	The unutilised biomass is left to decay which returns carbon dioxide to the atmosphere while also control of vegetation, by herb	1
		IE22-BBFB/CHAR	The intensification of Irish agriculture, particularly in dairying, has raised concerns about its environmental impact as it is a sign	1
		IE23-SBDP/BGAS	Displacement of fossil based products with bio-based alternatives, while offering rural diversification opportunities.	-1
		DE24-GrassBioWert/BWERT	Valorization of roadside grass clippings and improving its digestion with other feedstock, as monodigestion of grass can be tec	-1
		BE25-Grassification/GRSFY	A bio-based technology that supports valorizing, recycling and recovering of resources by providing a versatile and easy to im	1
		FR26-Pyrogreen/PYROG	The grass from protected wetland areas in the polder meadows is heterogeneous, in parts strongly lignified (rigid) and its nutriti	-1
		DE27-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	Low quality natural and roadside grass are used for low added value applications such as compost which also includes costs	-1
		NL28-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	The conversion of annual crops such as maize, rapeseed and cereals into grassland can significantly reduce nitrate leaching	-1
		DK29-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	changing the paradigm of production and consumption of fossil-based composites and materials, such as plastics, by providi	-1
		PT30-Spawfoam/SPAWN	Since nowadays wood is getting more scarce caused by the growing demand in material and the energetic use, alternative so	-1
		DE31-MixBioPells/PLTIZ	In Europe small-scale combustion units (20 to 200 kW) are used almost only with high quality wood fuels, and nowadays wood	-1
		DE32-MixBioPells/PLTHP	Agricultural waste such as tomato and wheat wastes are not used, which has an environmental impact and a management co	1
		FR34-GRANUL/HAE/WdPLT	To enhance the value of local raw materials to meet the increasing demand from consumers who are interested in buying local	-1
		ES35-Bioferti/BFTZ+	Waste recovery of cattle manure and other organic waste, to increase the biological and physical-chemical quality of agricultu	1
		NL36-ManurePellet/MnPLT	a higher percentage of organic matter, a better soil structure and more binding of nutrients in the soil, a problem is formulated f	1
		IT37-BIOECO_FLIES/W2BSF	to valorize agrifood biomass beyond the typical low added value applications such as fertilizer or biomass for digesters	1



NL36-ManurePellet/MnPLT	a higher percentage of organic matter, a better soil structure and more binding of nutrients in the soil, a problem is formulated for	1
IT37-BIOECO_FLIES/W2BSF	to valorize agrifood biomass beyond the typical low added value applications such as fertilizer or biomass for digesters	1
DK38-Macrofuels/CWEEED	There is an undisputed and urgent need to decarbonise the European transport sector and seaweed can be a sustainable bio	1
IT39-Res4Carbon/RES4C	to define the best practices to guarantee maximum technical, economic and environmental efficiency in the processing, hand	1
FR40-SIVABA/WdPwr	The need to better articulate the various links in the wood energy sector in order to increase its visibility vis-à-vis potential cons	-1
BE41-BierbeekCHIP/Wd2CN	to valorise the woody material coming from the municipal hollow roads and the wood edges after shredding on the local fields c	-1
LT42-cogeneration residue/Res2F	a need to develop a production technology for a new type of fertilizer using wood ashes and digestate	1
IT43-CAREGA/4COAL	a gradual abandonment of the forests and a progressive decrease in commercial relations between forest owners, forestry cor	1
IT44-COBRAF/COBRA	develop an articulated system of biorefineries that allows maximum exploitation of the biomass of oil crops (hemp, safflower, fla	-1
IT45-Stabilized Litter/StbMn	the impact of stabilized litter obtained from the solid/liquid separation of slurry subjected to a process of sanitation and stabiliza	-1
IT46-BIOACTAM/BIOAA	to develop and validate a new generation of products, based on the partial pyrolysis of ligno-cellulosic biomass deriving from f	-1
PT47-GOEfluentes /GoEft	increase the efficiency of water and nutrient utilization, reduce the environmental impact of farming and add value to agricultu	1
ES48-INCREdible/RESIN	addressing challenges faced by resin as a non-wood forest product	-1
LV49-WoodResidueLV/W2HF	developing innovative technological solutions to reclamation of wood processing by-products, further processing and adding	-1
IT50-RBR-EAS/BC4R	using different residual biomass with energy purposes (biofuel production), agricultural (production of fertilizer) and food (dieta	-1
AT-Closing cycles/INTREC	Farms need a reasonably closed nutrient cycle to recover energy and resources	-1
PL-BIOGAL/BIOGL	Livestock sector has several challenges because of the management of the resources and wastes produced. Manure is one of	1
ES-LIFE Smart Fertilization/FRITGN	pig meat production generates large amounts of manure leading to important environmental problems and many anaerobic dig	1
BE-DIGESMART /DIGST	Biogas production is efficient at reducing agricultural emission by converting the biomass into electricity and thermal energy (c	1
NL-VORTEX/VORTX	Manure stripping innovation for efficiency and cost	-1
NL-Manure Evaporation/EVAPR	Different manure processing techniques are already available and the thick fraction can be well tolerated. However, the reduc	-1
DE-Manure Efficiency/MNURE	to develop a procedure for liquid slurry processing for agricultural enterprises, with which slurry and manure can be used to pro	-1
SE-Manure Refining/MNRRF	to produce concentrated, transport-efficient fertilizers from biogas plant that produces large amounts of digested manure, as	-1
BE-HIATUS/WATER	Almost every year, farmers face water shortages due to drought. Therefore, they are looking for alternatives for this valuable wa	-1
ES-GOIMECO/PgSLR	slurry management and treatment system for ensuring the product generated in pig farms is more competitive and has a lower c	1
FI-LEX4BIO/BBFRT	reducing dependency on mineral and fossil-based fertilizers by optimizing the use of bio-based fertilizers (BBFs)	-1
IT-ProBEST/IBARK	In the production of forest wood fuels, the presence of bark and twigs must be limited. these can be chipped and delivered, es	-1
IT-ProBEST/ASHES	In the production of forest wood fuels, the incombustible elements (ash) must be limited. these can be delivered, essentially at	-1
IT-FiLeProPri/WSC	Face the problem of the socio-economic marginality of wood production in private property.	-1
IT-ROSAEXTREM/NRH	Encourage the restructuring of farms with structural problems considerable	-1
IT-M.ER.LI.n/MERL	Analyze needs of partner companies to identify implementation opportunities innovative solutions that are energetically sustai	-1
IT-INNOVABIOZOO/INZOO	The project consists of a series of actions divided into the following areas work: preparatory phase, coordination and animation	-1
ES-AgriCarbón/AC	In Andalusia, agricultural activities play an important role in the socio-economic development which generates contamination	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/BYPRO	Promotes possible alternatives for the valorisation of avocado by-products by studying the characterisation of their nutritional	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88%	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generate	-1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	-1
ES-BIOSUERO/WHEY	In Andalusia, it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 L of milk, it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 L of wh	-1
ES-Biochar/BIOCH	The project is mainly focused on the Western Coast of Huelva (Ayamonte, Isla Cristina, Lepe, Cartaya, Gibraleón, Punta Umbrí	-1
ES-BIORUMIOLIFEED	Need to increase the profitability of livestock farms and create an alternative for the olive oil industry by achieving a more efficie	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIVE	The olive-mill wastewater constitute one of the most important environmental problems in olive cooperatives, since they are ch	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIV2	The reuse and recovery of olive by-products are essential to reduce environmental pollution and contribute to increasing the e	-1
CZ-TJ02000130/	Among the difficult tasks are the application of fugate (a by-product of biogas production) and the dependence on peat (a no	-1
CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is quite	-1
CZ-TH03030319/	Efficient use of processed field by-products.	-1
CZ-QK1710379/	Use of sewage sludge as a valuable waste raw material in agriculture.	-1
CZ-TH02030681/	The establishment of field crops (maize) is currently carried out in the form of monoculture. This causes surface runoff of rainw	-1
CZ-TH02030925/	Increasing the composting rate, especially when processing bulky materials (urban green waste, sludge), which are increasing	1
CZ-SS06020282/	Conventional mechanical recycling of biopolymers is currently not a technologically feasible process, as their products often e	-1
CZ-TK04010166/	There is a need to update biomass potential in the light of changing factors affecting land use and biomass yields, including up	-1
CZ-FW06010358/	Reduction of the consumption of liquid substances (including liquid fertilisers) per unit area, in particular better use of these su	-1
EL11-HIPO-ENERGY/NUTRI	- management of Hippophae leaves, which are cultivated only for the harvesting of the fruit- existing studies highlight the nutr	-1

CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is quite	-1
CZ-TH03030319/	Efficient use of processed field by-products.	-1
CZ-QK1710379/	Use of sewage sludge as a valuable waste raw material in agriculture.	-1
CZ-TH02030681/	The establishment of field crops (maize) is currently carried out in the form of monoculture. This causes surface runoff of rainwa	-1
CZ-TH02030325/	Increasing the composting rate, especially when processing bulky materials (urban green waste, sludge), which are increasing	1
CZ-SS06020282/	Conventional mechanical recycling of biopolymers is currently not a technologically feasible process, as their products often e	-1
CZ-TK04010166/	There is a need to update biomass potential in the light of changing factors affecting land use and biomass yields, including up	-1
CZ-FW06010358/	Reduction of the consumption of liquid substances (including liquid fertilisers) per unit area, in particular better use of these su	-1
EL11-HIPO-ENERGY/NUTRI	- management of Hippophae leaves, which are cultivated only for the harvesting of the fruit- existing studies highlight the nutr	-1
EL12-ΑΕΙΦΟΡΙΚΑ ΚΗΠΕΥΤΙΚΑ/OREG	control of downy mildew (caused by soil fungi with negative effects in the production) in the context of the circular economy ar	-1
EL13-OLIVERB/OLIVE	management of the significant quantities of olive leaves produced as by-products during cultivation (pruning), harvesting of ol	-1
EL14-BioAnimalChar/PIG	cost and quality of pig feed	1
EL15-COMPOST-INNO/COMPO	- lack of sufficient amounts of organic waste in the cultivation sites - distance of the sources of organic matter from the places	1
EL16-Compo - Laventer/COLAV	increase of the production and the quality of lavender oil	-1
EL17-AGROSCHOOLBUS.BIO/RESOL	Sustainable management of the residues (branches and leaves), pruning of the olive tree (and other productive trees).	-1
EL18-SoilCircle/	Due to the negative effects on crop growth and the environment from the use of synthetic fertilisers, it is recommended to appl	-1
EL19-ΥΓΕΙΑΡΤΟΣ/ΥΟΓΟ	Exploitation of both goat milk and espresso coffee residues	-1
EL20-Innovative Rice Residue Management Pr	Handling plant residues remaining in the rice fields after harvesting. Their burning was banned because of the reduction in org	-1
EL21-ΕΛΛΙΓΝΑΣ/OLFER	Management of waste and wastewater generated during the olive farming and olive oil production processes	-1
PL91-OrzechDębu/ACORN	Changing trends in consumer attitudes and food preferences towards reducing the consumption of meat and animal products	-1
PL93-GRIST/GRIST	Organic grain production and processing of brewery residues into meal, using an innovative dehydration method	-1
p194-Qwoce 4.0/Qwoce 4.0	Development of technology dedicated to currant plantations	-1
0	0	



5.2 Greece

5.2.1 Information for the “Workshop Answers” sheet.

FAN Country	Greece
FAN Region	Greece

Q1:

Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?

ID

1	Chestnut skin
2	Greenhouse Vegetable Plant biomass
3	Olive and olive oil-production biomass and prunings
4	Sheep wool
5	Prunings and grass from fruit trees
6	Stone-fruit residue
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	

Q2:

Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?

ID

1	Municipal units of household biomass processes
2	Composting Units for plant residues
3	Aerobic and anaerobic processing equipment
4	Bio-stimulants that support the composting process
5	Sensors for detecting the status of the composting material (temperature, CO2 levels, maturity)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	

Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?		Q4. What are your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)	
ID		ID	
1	electricity	1	Need for collaboration with other sectors in order to avoid contamination of residue bio-mass with micro-plastics, petrol residue and other foreign matter
2	Heating	2	A system (i.e. public funding body, leasing model etc) for wide availability of processing technology and know-how to farmers
3	Bio-gas	3	Piloting/demonstration/experimental schemes and educational purposes
4	Bio-fertilizers	4	Education on specific treatment protocols for the quality of the bio-mass streams that is intended for cyclic use.
5	Bio-cyclic Humus-soil	5	Wool processing technology for use in agriculture
6	Bio-cyclic straws	6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	
11		11	
12			

Q5.1:

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
ID	Values provided by the FAN members: Range of farm size of the
1	1.00-10.00
2	0.50-20.00
3	0.50-4.50
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.2:

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
ID	Values provided by the FAN members: Range of forest size of the
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.3.t				Q5.4.t			
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?				Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?			
ID	National statistics data:			ID	National statistics data:		
1	542,312.40	C. Macedonia		1	90,949.10	fruit tree farms/olive groves	
2	187,778.30	W.Macedonia		2	6,893.80		
3	334,672.10	Crete		3	134,710.70		
4	84,700.00	Messinia		4	181,889.30		
5				5			
6				6			
7				7			
8				8			
9				9			
10				10			

Q5.5			Q5.6		
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?			Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?		
ID	National statistics data:		ID	National statistics data:	
1	533.30	green house vegetables	1	43,500.00	chestnuts total in Greece
2	16.70		2		
3	1,550.90		3		
4	340.00		4		
5			5		
6			6		
7			7		
8			8		
9			9		
10			10		

Q6.1:		Q6.2:	
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the short-term time (2 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-		Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the long-term time (5 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-	
ID		ID	
1	10,000.00	1	37,500.00
2	15,000.00	2	30,000.00
3	7,000.00	3	30,000.00
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology?		Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?	
ID	Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).	ID	
1	9	1	MACC
2	9	2	KAEM Living Lab
3	8.5	3	EKETA
4	9	4	ROBOCOOP-EU
5		5	BioCycle Hummus Hub
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	
		11	
		12	
		13	
		14	
		15	

Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory		Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners.		Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?	
ID		ID		ID	
1	General	1	closed	1	Advisory services/extension
2	Innovation-co-creation	2	open	2	Technology providers
3	research	3	open	3	Peers/social networks
4	EU project	4	open	4	Youtube channels/other social media communities
5	advisory	5	open	5	EU portals and publications
6		6		6	

Q10 t			Q11 t			Q12 t		
Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region ID <input type="text"/> worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)? <input type="text"/>			Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the ID <input type="text"/> unemployed, and the youth in this area? <input type="text"/>			Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what ID <input type="text"/> improvements could be implemented to make the process more circular? <input type="text"/>		
rent			1 give incentives for young people to stay in rural areas			1 Improvement of the high financial burdens of processing		
			2 allow more women to take active roles in agriculture			2 Establishment of pilots/experimental/demonstration schemes		
ures / temperatures remaining high during winter			3			3		
soil erosion			4			4		
			5			5		
			6			6		
			7			7		
			8			8		
			9			9		
			10			10		
			11					



Q13_t

Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way?

ID

there are such technologies but a professional farmer will usually rely on known methods due to financial risks involved in new practices

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10



5.2.2 Information for the “TranslateTables” sheet.

[illegible]

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

[illegible]

<p>In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options are low, medium, or high potential of environmental benefits as low, medium, or high potential of environmental impacts. The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.</p>			
T10.2_t		T11_t	
Q10 Answers		Q11 Answers	
Envi Sustainability		Socio Sustainability	
Water management	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.	Give incentives for young people to stay in rural areas	2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 social benefits.
Soil health	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.	Allow more women to take active roles in agriculture	2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 social benefits.
Rising temperatures / temperatures remaining high during winter	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.		
Desertification/soil erosion	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.		

SECTION 2 - ADDING EXTRA INFORMATION TO TRANSLATE VALUES FROM WORKSHOP ANSWERS

[illegible][illegible]

SECTION 3 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

5.2.3 Information for the “Weight” sheet

Workshop answers regarding processing needs (as visual reference only)		Inventory answers to BBTs needs/problems statement		Manually add weight to BBTs after reading the workshop answers
W4_t				
<p>Q4. What are your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)</p> <p>1 matter</p> <p>2 A system (i.e. public funding body, leasing model etc) for wide availability of processing technology and know-how to farmers</p> <p>3 Piloting/demonstration/experimental schemes and educational purposes</p> <p>4 Education on specific treatment protocols for the quality of the bio-mass streams that is intended for cyclic use.</p> <p>5 Wool processing technology for use in agriculture</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p>		<p>BBT CODES</p> <p>BBT NEEDS/PROBLEM STATEMENT</p> <p>Weight</p>		
	Need for collaboration with other sectors in order to avoid contamination of residue bio-mass with micro-plastics, petrol residue and other foreign	IE10-Biorefinery Glas/GLAS	To improve the sustainability, value and resource efficiency of Ireland's livestock sector through farmer diversification into the bioeconomy and to assess the potential role of grass biorefinery in supporting sustainable and resilient communities in rural Ireland.	-1
	1 matter	IT11-FABER/FABER	Forest biomass and its management to address climate change and GHG emissions.	-1
	2 A system (i.e. public funding body, leasing model etc) for wide availability of processing technology and know-how to farmers	F112-ForestChip4Farm/FC4FH	Preventing climate change, increasing bioenergy in rural areas, decreasing CO2 emissions from farms and the food chain, promoting a sustainable food chain, and developing new innovations and products.	-1
	3 Piloting/demonstration/experimental schemes and educational purposes	DE13-Lignocellulosic Biorefinery/LIGNO	To valorize the lignocellulosic residues (meadow grass) from farms in a sustainable way.	-1
	4 Education on specific treatment protocols for the quality of the bio-mass streams that is intended for cyclic use.	EL14-BIO2CHP/B2CHP	bypasses technical limitations & allows the use of residual biomass for small-scale & on-site energy production	-1
	5 Wool processing technology for use in agriculture	IT15-Scarabeo/HMP2C	There is a need for sustainable and efficient methods in the hemp supply chain to increase quality, valorize and reduce energy consumption.	1
	6	HU16-REFERTIL/3R2RO	Recycling and valorization of un-exploited farm and animal by-products	-1
	7	IT17-Mountain Carbon/MNTNC	to help improve the management of the organic matter (OM) from dairy cattle manure in the mountain areas	-1
	8	EE18-Hay Biosyngas/HAYBG	a cost-effective and efficient way to produce bio-coal from late harvested hay pellets to benefit small and medium-sized entrepreneurs	-1
	9			
	10	F119-Wood2Biogas/Wd2BG	to produce and use the gasification gas to boost methane formation in the biogas process, and simultaneously produce biochar, and the use of woody materials in biogas energy production without increasing the amount of digestate from the biogas process	-1
	11	IT20-Clean-ER/CLINR	The accumulation of low economic value woody/shrub biomass in mountain areas poses a significant threat as it increases the risk of challenges in efficiently drying alfalfa for animal feed, and a need to utilize manure and shredded wood from hedgerows	-1
		FR21-SeCoPPA /ALFLF	The unutilised biomass is left to decay which returns carbon dioxide to the atmosphere while also control of vegetation, by herbicide	-1
		IE22-BFB/CHAR	The intensification of Irish agriculture, particularly in dairying, has raised concerns about its environmental impact as it is a significant	-1
		IE23-SBDP/BGAS	Displacement of fossil based products with bio-based alternatives, while offering rural diversification opportunities.	-1
		DE24-GrassBiowerk/BWERT	Valorization of roadside grass clippings and improving its digestion with other feedstock, as monodigestion of grass can be technical	-1
		BE25-Grassification/GRSFY	A bio-based technology that supports valorizing, recycling and recovering of resources by providing a versatile and easy to implement	-1
		FR26-Pyrogreen/PYROG	The grass from protected wetland areas in the polder meadows is heterogeneous, in parts strongly lignified (rigid) and its nutritious	-1
		DE27-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	Low quality natural and roadside grass are used for low added value applications such as compost which also includes costs associated	-1
		NL28-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	The conversion of annual crops such as maize, rapeseed and cereals into grassland can significantly reduce nitrate leaching under EU	-1
		DK29-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	changing the paradigm of production and consumption of fossil-based composites and materials, such as plastics, by providing an alternative	-1
		PT30-SpawInfoam/SPAWN	Since nowadays wood is getting more scarce caused by the growing demand in material and the energetic use, alternative solid biofuels	1
		DE31-MixBioPells/PLTIZ	in Europe small-scale combustion units (20 to 200 kW) are used almost only with high quality wood fuels, and nowadays wood is getting	1
		DE32-MixBioPells/PLTHP	Agricultural waste such as tomato and wheat wastes are not used, which has an environmental impact and a management cost for them	-1
		DE33-BIOlution/BIOILT	To enhance the value of local raw materials to meet the increasing demand from consumers who are interested in buying local products	-1
		FR34-GRANULHAIE/WdPLT	Waste recovery of cattle manure and other organic waste, to increase the biological and physical-chemical quality of agricultural soil	-1
		ES35-Bioferri-/BFTZ+	a higher percentage of organic matter, a better soil structure and more binding of nutrients in the soil, a problem is formulated for a	-1
		NL36-ManurePellel/MnPLT	to valorize agrofood biomass beyond the typical low added value applications such as fertilizer or biomass for digesters	-1
		IT37-BIOECO_FUELS/W2BSF	There is an undisputed and urgent need to decarbonise the European transport sector and seaweed can be a sustainable bio-based feed	-1
		DK38-Macrofuels/CWEED	to define the best practices to guarantee maximum technical, economic and environmental efficiency in the processing, handling and	-1
		IT39-Res4Carbon/RES4C	The need to better articulate the various links in the wood energy sector in order to increase its visibility vis-à-vis potential consumers	-1
		FR40-SIVABA/WdPwr	to valorise the woody material coming from the municipal hollow roads and the wood edges after shredding on the local fields of biomass	-1
		BE41-BierbeekCHIP/Wd2CN	a need to develop a production technology for a new type of fertilizer using wood ashes and digestate	-1
		LT42-cogeneration residue/Res2F	a gradual abandonment of the forests and a progressive decrease in commercial relations between forest owners, forestry companies	-1
		IT43-CAREGA/ACOAL	develop an articulated system of biorefineries that allows maximum exploitation of the biomass of oil crops (hemp, safflower, flax,	-1
		IT44-COBRAF/COBRA	the impact of stabilized litter obtained from the solid/liquid separation of slurry subjected to a process of sanitation and stabilization	-1
		IT45-Stabilized Litter/StbMn	to develop and validate a new generation of products, based on the partial pyrolysis of ligno-cellulosic biomass deriving from forest	-1
		IT46-BIOACTAM/BIOAA	increase the efficiency of water and nutrient utilization, reduce the environmental impact of farming and add value to agricultural waste	-1
		PT47-GOEfluentes /GoEft	addressing challenges faced by resin as a non-wood forest product	-1
		ES48-INCREdible/RESIN	developing innovative technological solutions to reclamation of wood processing by-products, further processing and adding value to	-1
		LV49-WoodResidueLV/W2IHF	using different residual biomass with energy purposes (biofuel production), agricultural (production of fertilizer) and food (dietary supplements)	-1
		IT50-RBR-EAS/BCH4R		-1



AT-Closing cycles/NTREC	Farms need a reasonably closed nutrient cycle to recover energy and resources	-1
PL-BIOGAL/BIOGL	Livestock sector has several challenges because of the management of the resources and wastes produced. Manure is one of the biggest	-1
ES-LIFE Smart Fertirrigation/FRTGN	pig meat production generates large amounts of manure leading to important environmental problems and many anaerobic digestion	-1
BE-DIGESMART /DIGST	Biogas production is efficient at reducing agricultural emission by converting the biomass into electricity and thermal energy (cogeneration)	-1
NL-VORTEX/VORTX	Manure stripping innovation for efficiency and cost	-1
NL-Manure Evaporation/EVAPR	Different manure processing techniques are already available and the thick fraction can be well tolerated. However, the reduction of	-1
DE-Manure Efficiency/MNURE	to develop a procedure for liquid slurry processing for agricultural enterprises, with which slurry and manure can be used to produce	-1
SE-Manure Refining/MNRRF	to produce concentrated, transport-efficient fertilizers from biogas plant that produces large amounts of digested manure, as well as	-1
BE-HIATUS/WATER	Almost every year, farmers face water shortages due to drought. Therefore, they are looking for alternatives for this valuable water,	-1
ES-GO IMECO/PgSLR	slurry management and treatment system for ensuring the product generated in pig farms is more competitive and has a lower environmental	-1
FI-LEX4BIO/BBFRT	reducing dependency on mineral and fossil-based fertilizers by optimizing the use of bio-based fertilizers (BBFs)	-1
IT-ProBEST/BARK	In the production of forest wood fuels, the presence of bark and twigs must be limited. these can be chipped and delivered, essentially	-1
IT-ProBEST/ASHES	In the production of forest wood fuels, the incombustible elements (ash) must be limited. these can be delivered, essentially at costs	-1
IT-FileProPri/WSC	Face the problem of the socio-economic marginality of wood production in private property.	-1
IT-ROSAEXTREM/NRH	Encourage the restructuring of farms with structural problems considerable	-1
IT-M.ER.LI.n/MERL	Analyze needs of partner companies to identify implementation opportunities innovative solutions that are energetically sustainable	-1
IT-INNOVABIOZOO/INZOO	The project consists of a series of actions divided into the following areas work: preparatory phase, coordination and animation, adoption	-1
ES-AgriCarbón/AC	In Andalusia, agricultural activities play an important role in the socio-economic development which generates contamination in surface	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/BYPRO	Promotes possible alternatives for the valorisation of avocado by-products by studying the characterisation of their nutritional profile	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88% of the total	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generated in the	-1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	-1
ES-BIOSUERO/WHEY	In Andalusia, it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 L of milk, it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 L of whey.	-1
ES-Biochar/BIOCH	The project is mainly focused on the Western Coast of Huelva (Ayamonte, Isla Cristina, Lepe, Cartaya, Gibralfaro, Punta Umbría and Ayamonte)	1
ES-BIORUMOLI/FEED	Need to increase the profitability of livestock farms and create an alternative for the olive oil industry by achieving a more efficient	1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIVE	The olive-mill wastewater constitute one of the most important environmental problems in olive cooperatives, since they are characterised	1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIV2	The reuse and recovery of olive by-products are essential to reduce environmental pollution and contribute to increasing the efficiency	1
CZ-TJ02000130/	Among the difficult tasks are the application of fugate (a by-product of biogas production) and the dependence on peat (a non-renewable	-1
CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and we have	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is quite complex	-1
CZ-TH03030319/	Efficient use of processed field by-products.	-1
CZ-QK1710379/	Use of sewage sludge as a valuable waste raw material in agriculture.	-1
CZ-TH02030681/	The establishment of field crops (maize) is currently carried out in the form of monoculture. This causes surface runoff of rainwater and	-1
CZ-TH02030925/	Increasing the composting rate, especially when processing bulky materials (urban green waste, sludge), which are increasingly processed	-1
CZ-SS06020282/	Conventional mechanical recycling of biopolymers is currently not a technologically feasible process, as their products often end up	-1
CZ-TK04010166/	There is a need to update biomass potential in the light of changing factors affecting land use and biomass yields, including updating	-1
CZ-FW06010358/	Reduction of the consumption of liquid substances (including liquid fertilisers) per unit area, in particular better use of these substances	-1
EL11-HIPO-ENERGY/NUTRI	- management of Hippophae leaves, which are cultivated only for the harvesting of the fruit- existing studies highlight the nutritional	-1
EL12-ΑΕΙΦΟΡΙΚΑ ΚΗΠΕΥΤΙΚΑ/OREG	control of downy mildew (caused by soil fungi with negative effects in the production) in the context of the circular economy and the	-1
EL13-ΟΛΙΗΕΡΒ/OLIVE	management of the significant quantities of olive leaves produced as by-products during cultivation (pruning), harvesting of olives and	1
EL14-BioAnimalChar/PIG	cost and quality of pig feed	1
EL15-COMPOST-INNO/COMPO	- lack of sufficient amounts of organic waste in the cultivation sites - distance of the sources of organic matter from the places of production	-1
EL16-Compo - Lavender/COLAV	increase of the production and the quality of lavender oil	-1
EL17-AGROSCHOOLBUS.BIO/RESOL	Sustainable management of the residues (branches and leaves), pruning of the olive tree (and other productive trees).	1
EL18-SoilCircle/	Due to the negative effects on crop growth and the environment from the use of synthetic fertilisers, it is recommended to apply soil	-1
EL19-YFETAPTOZ/YOGO	Exploitation of both goat milk and espresso coffee residues	-1
EL20-Innovative Rice Residue Management Practice	Handling plant residues remaining in the rice fields after harvesting. Their burning was banned because of the reduction in organic nitrogen	-1
EL21-ΕΛΑΙΩΝΑΣ/OLFER	Management of waste and wastewater generated during the olive farming and olive oil production processes	1
PL91-OrzechDębu/ACORN	Changing trends in consumer attitudes and food preferences towards reducing the consumption of meat and animal products. The value	1
PL93-GRIST/GRIST	Organic grain production and processing of brewery residues into meal, using an innovative dehydration method	-1
PL94-Owoce 4.0/Owoce 4.0	Development of technology dedicated to currant plantations	-1

5.3 Ireland

5.3.1 Information for the “Workshop Answers” sheet.

FAN Country:	Ireland
FAN Region:	Ireland

Q1 t

ID

Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?

1	Cattle slurry
2	Tree branches
3	Food waste
4	Poultry manure
5	Pig manure
6	Horticultural waste e.g plant biomass from mushrooms and tomato crops
7	Digestate from AD plants
8	Dairy sludge
9	Brewers sludge
10	Brewers grains
11	Straw
12	Poultry ash
13	Bone meal
14	De-barked timber from processing
15	Saw dust
16	Pine cones
17	Rushes and gorse
18	Green manures e.g cover crops
19	Dairy washings
20	Flue gas from manufacturing plants
21	Food-chain losses i.e sub grade vegetables

Q2 t

ID

Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?

1	Anaerobic digestion plants
2	separators
3	Pyrolysis Biochar kilns
4	Debarkers
5	Mixing equipment for poultry and farmyard manures
6	Plant associated with poultry manure manufacture:
7	Centrifuge (separators)
8	Air dryers (steriliser)
9	Air coolers
10	Pelletisers
11	

Q3 t		Q4 t	
ID	Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?	ID	Q4. What are your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)
1	biogas	1	Incinerators for wood by-product ashes generation
2	struvite	2	Mulchers and chippers for wood by-products
3	Separated slurry, solid and liquid fractions	3	Greater number of anaerobic digesters
4	Inoculated slurry	4	
5	Incinerated poultry manure ash	5	
6	Bespoke bi-product fertilisers	6	
7	Ash from incinerated brewers' grains	7	
8	Biofertilizer, sewage mixed with lime	8	
9	Recycling of crop residues, e.g straw chopping and potato tops	9	
10	Mushroom compost	10	
11		11	

Q5.1 t		Q5.2 t	
ID	Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of farm size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha): e.g. 10 – 400 ha	ID	Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of forest size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha):
1	10.00-400.00	1	2.00-25.00
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q5.3_t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Average farm size (ha):
1	32.4
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.4_t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Average forest size (ha):
1	7.4
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.5_t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Region total farmed area (ha):
1	4,900,000.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.6_t	
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Region total forest area (ha):
1	808,000.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	



Q6.1 t

Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the **short-term time (2 years)** to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? **Range €**

ID

1	€	37,500.00
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

Q6.2 t

Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the **long-term time (5 years)** to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? **Range €**

ID

1	€	32,500.00
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

Q7 t

Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology?

ID Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).

1	3
2	4
3	5
4	1
5	10
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q8.1 t

Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?

ID

1	Teagasc
2	Farmer
3	EPA
4	DAFM
5	University students
6	Organic certification bodies
7	Coillte
8	Manufacturers of bio-based fertilisers
9	Waste processors
10	

Q8.2_t	Q8.3_t
<div> ID Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory </div> <div> 1 advisory 2 Advice 3 Licensing & regulatory permission 4 Project funding 5 Education 6 Certification advice 7 Provision of raw materials 8 9 10 </div>	<div> ID Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners. </div> <div> 1 Open 2 Open 3 Open 4 Open 5 Open 6 Open 7 Open 8 Open 9 Open 10 </div>

Q9_t		Q10_t	
ID	Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?	ID	Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?
1	advisory services	1	Trade-off between maximising efficiency and excessive removal of biomass from forestry
2	other farmers	2	Caution to be exercised in the wise use of bio-based fertilisers. I.e. matching application rates to crop demand
3	Internet	3	Need for faster analysis of nutrient constituents in bio-based fertilisers
4	Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine -	4	Carbon sequestration
5	Certification bodies	5	Lower carbon footprint associated to bio-based fertilisers
6	Pilot farms	6	
7	EIPs	7	
8	Lighthouse demos	8	
9	County Council	9	
10	Teagasc Open Days	10	
11	National Ploughing Championships		
12	Farm supply merchants – technical sales teams		
13	Agronomists		
14	Organic certification bodies		
15	Organic trust		
16	Social media (X, LinkedIn, etc)		
17	Media (Agriland, Farmers Journal, Radio)		

Q11_t		Q12_t	
ID	Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the unemployed, and the youth in this area?	ID	Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what improvements could be implemented to make the process more circular?
1	Youth – Young Scientists Exhibition	1	Making processes more circular
2	Citizen science	2	slurry separation
3	Local development	3	root harvesting equipment
4	Leaving certificate agricultural science projects	4	biomass
5	EIP projects	5	
6	Green agenda linked to youths	6	
7	Balancing for gender in groups	7	
8	LEADER funds	8	
9	County council	9	
10	Library	10	
11	Local enterprise board		

Q13_t

ID Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way?

1 Improved machinery to collect branches, needles/leaves, and other wood-biomass after thinning and felling.

2 Machines to process hemp straw to make fibre.

3 Anaerobic Digestion (AD) plants for shared storage of biomaterials and the production of biomethane

4 Regionally funded shared technology and infrastructure

5 Smaller and more adaptable processing technology

6 Machinery co-operative systems

7

8

9

10



5.3.2 Information for the “TranslateTables” sheet.

FAN Country:	Ireland		
FAN Region:	Ireland		
SECTION 1 - TRANSLATION OF VALU			
	<i>Title</i>		
	Q1 Answers	Reference sector	
	Cattle slurry	A1.4Animal production	
	Tree branches	A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities	
	Food waste	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	
	Poultry manure	A1.4Animal production	
	Pig manure	A1.4Animal production	
	Horticultural waste e.g plant biomass from mushrooms and tomato crops	A1.1Growing of non-perennial crops	
	Digestate from AD plants	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	
	Dairy sludge	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	
	Brewers sludge	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	
	Brewers grains	A1.1Growing of non-perennial crops	
	Straw	A1.1Growing of non-perennial crops	
	Poultry ash	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	
	Bone meal	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	
	De-barked timber from processing	A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities	
	Saw dust	A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities	
	Pine cones	A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities	
	Rushes and gorse	A2.3Gathering of wild growing non-wood products	
	Green manures e.g cover crops	A2.3Gathering of wild growing non-wood products	
	Dairy washings	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	
	Flue gas from manufacturing plants	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	
	Food-chain losses i.e sub grade vegetables	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities	

BBIONETS INVENTORY

T1.2.1

Q1 Answers	Key Word
Cattle slurry	Animal husbandry
Tree branches	Forestry
Food waste	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
Poultry manure	Animal husbandry
Pig manure	Animal husbandry
Horticultural waste e.g plant biomass from mushrooms and tomato crops	Arable crops
Digestate from AD plants	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
Dairy sludge	Water
Brewers sludge	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
Brewers grains	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
Straw	Arable crops
Poultry ash	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
Bone meal	Supply chain, marketing and consumption
De-barked timber from processing	Forestry
Saw dust	Forestry
Pine cones	Forestry
Rushes and gorse	Biodiversity and nature
Green manures e.g cover crops	Crop rotation/crop diversification/dual-purpose or mixed cropping
Dairy washings	Water
Flue gas from manufacturing plants	
Food-chain losses i.e sub grade vegetables	Supply chain, marketing and consumption



T1.3.1

Q1 Answers	Categories
Cattle slurry	Waste or recycled material FC
Tree branches	Waste or recycled material FC
Food waste	Waste or recycled material FC
Poultry manure	Waste or recycled material FC
Pig manure	Waste or recycled material FC
Horticultural waste e.g plant biomass from mushrooms and tomato crops	Waste or recycled material FC
Digestate from AD plants	Biorefineries C2
Dairy sludge	Biorefineries C2
Brewers sludge	Biorefineries C2
Brewers grains	Biorefineries C2
Straw	Crop residues and perennial plants F1
Poultry ash	Biorefineries C2
Bone meal	Biorefineries C2
De-barked timber from processing	Waste or recycled material FC
Saw dust	Waste or recycled material FC
Pine cones	Waste or recycled material FC
Rushes and gorse	Crop residues and perennial plants F1
Green manures e.g cover crops	Crop residues and perennial plants F1
Dairy washings	Biorefineries C2
Flue gas from manufacturing plants	Biorefineries C2
Food-chain losses i.e sub grade vegetables	Waste or recycled material FC

T1.4.1

Q1 Answers	Feedstock
Cattle slurry	Wastes
Tree branches	Biomass residues
Food waste	Wastes
Poultry manure	Wastes
Pig manure	Wastes
Horticultural waste e.g plant biomass from mushrooms and tomato crops	Wastes
Digestate from AD plants	Wastes
Dairy sludge	Wastes
Brewers sludge	Wastes
Brewers grains	Wastes
Straw	Biomass residues
Poultry ash	Biomass residues
Bone meal	Biomass residues
De-barked timber from processing	Biomass residues
Saw dust	Biomass residues
Pine cones	Biomass residues
Rushes and gorse	Biomass
Green manures e.g cover crops	Biomass
Dairy washings	Wastes
Flue gas from manufacturing plants	Wastes
Food-chain losses i.e sub grade vegetables	Wastes

T1.5.1

Q1 Answers	Value chains
Cattle slurry	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.
Tree branches	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.
Food waste	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Poultry manure	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Pig manure	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.
Horticultural waste e.g plant biomass from mushrooms and tomato crops	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Digestate from AD plants	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Dairy sludge	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.
Brewers sludge	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.
Brewers grains	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.
Straw	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Poultry ash	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Bone meal	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
De-barked timber from processing	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Saw dust	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Pine cones	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Rushes and gorse	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.
Green manures e.g cover crops	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Dairy washings	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.
Flue gas from manufacturing plants	1 - Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Food-chain losses i.e sub grade vegetables	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.

T8.1.1

T8.2.1

T8.3.1

Q8.1 Answers: key stakeholders	Nature of collaboration	Type of collaboration	Type of partners
Teagasc	Advisory	Open	Advisor
Farmer	Advice	Open	Farmer
EPA	Licensing & regulatory permission	Open	Public Authority + LAG
DAFM	Project funding	Open	Public Authority + LAG
University students	Education	Open	Training organization
Organic certification bodies	Certification advice	Open	Advisor
Coillte	Provision of raw materials	Open	Forester
Manufacturers of bio-based fertilisers		Open	Processor or retailer
Waste processors		Open	Processor or retailer



In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options are low, medium, or high potential of carbon sequestration as low, medium, or high potential of carbon emissions. The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.

710. Lt

Q10 Answers

Trade-off between maximising efficiency and excessive removal of biomass from forestry
Caution to be exercised in the wise use of bio-based fertilisers. I.e. matching application rates to crop demand
Need for faster analysis of nutrient constituents in bio-based fertilisers
Carbon sequestration
Lower carbon footprint associated to bio-based fertilisers

2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.
1 - Low potential - low potential for carbon sequestration
1 - Low potential - low potential for carbon sequestration
3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).
1 - Low potential - low potential for carbon sequestration

75.3.1		Check if the field "Processing capacity", column AD, in the inventory_copy sheet is in t/Day. If that is not the case, please convert the answer in the field to T/Day.			Source of information
Q5.3 Answers: National statistics de		Biomasses identified from Q1		Avg. yearly yield of biomasses per hectare (t: Estimated Avg. tonnes of biomass per far	
32.40	Cattle slurry	10.80	0.0959	Average cattle per farm: 70. (https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/leplp-coal/censusofagriculture2020-preliminaryresults/livestock/) Average farm hectare: 32.4 ha. (workshop answers) Average slurry production per housed period per cattle: 5t (https://www.teagasc.ie/media/website/publications/2020/Manure-Management-Practices-Report.pdf and unpublished InformBio work)	
-	Tree branches	-	-	120 kg of food waste per household or 44 kg per person (that's about half the weight of a full brown bin). https://www.epa.ie/our-services/monitoring--assessment/waste/national-waste-statistics/food/	
-	Food waste	-	0.1200	Average cattle per farm: 2k. (https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/leplp-coal/censusofagriculture2020-preliminaryresults/livestock/) Average farm hectare: 32.4 ha. (workshop answers)	
-	Poultry manure	0.49	0.0044	Average litter production per housed period: 8kg (T. Hennessy, "The Economic Importance of the Poultry (Meat and Egg) Sector in Ireland," UCC, 2019) Average cattle per farm: 1.1k. (https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/leplp-coal/censusofagriculture2020-preliminaryresults/livestock/)	
-	Pig manure	23.26	0.2064	Average farm hectare: 32.4 ha. (workshop answers) Average litter production per housed period: 685kg (unpublished InformBio work)	
-	Horticultural waste e.g plant biomass from musl	71.25	0.6324	Unpublished InformBio work number of AD plants: 20 (https://www.energysireland.ie/the-irish-biomethane-sector-requires-policy-and-action-to-mobilise/#:~:text=AD%20technology%20can%20be%20deployed,industry%20in%20many%20European%20countries.) Average digestate produced: 145000 tonnes (Major new AD plant on the way to Kildare)	
-	Digestate from AD plants	145,000.00	19.86	Kildare County Council has given the green light to Ireland's biggest non-waste AD plant, which will use 165,000 tonnes of spent brewers' and distillers' grain and crops to produce biomethane. https://www.farmersjournal.ie/major-new-ad-plant-on-the-way-to-kildare-772038	
-	Dairy sludge	126,718.00	43.3966	2017 data https://www.teagasc.ie/publications/2020/what-is-in-dairy-processing-wastewater-sludge-dps- php#:~:text=Volumes%20and%20types%20of%20DPS,(wet%20weight)%20in%202017.	
-	Brewers sludge	373,648,000.00	23,265.7534	Unpublished InformBio work	
-	Brewers grains	142,000.00	4.4717	Unpublished InformBio work (tonnes nationally produced per 87 breweries)	
-	Straw	3.11	0.0276	Average yield of wheat, oats, barley and hemp (unpublished informbio work)	
-	Poultry ash	-	-		
-	Bone meal	-	-		
-	De-barked timber from processing	-	-		
-	Saw dust	-	-		
-	Pine cones	-	-		
-	Rushes and gorse	2.1375	0.0190	BBFB https://www.biomastobiocar.ie/ (Unpublished InformBio work)	
-	Green manures e.g cover crops	16.5	0.1465	fodder beet yield in fresh weight (Unpublished InformBio work)	
-	Dairy washings	126,718.00	43.3966	2017 data https://www.teagasc.ie/publications/2020/what-is-in-dairy-processing-wastewater-sludge-dps- php#:~:text=Volumes%20and%20types%20of%20DPS,(wet%20weight)%20in%202017.	
-	Flue gas from manufacturing plants	-	-		
-	Food-chain losses i.e sub-grade vegetables	-	-		

75.4 t

Check if the field "Processing capacity", column AO, in the Inventory copy sheet is in T/Day. If that is not the case, please convert the answer in the field to T/Day.

Q5.4 Answers. Na Biomasses identified		Avg. yearly yield of biomasses per hectare (forest)	Estimated Avg. tonnes of biomass per forest per day	Source of information
7.40	Cattle slurry		-	Estimated from sitka spruce branches only as it represents the 79% of the Irish standing volume harvested (NFI, 2022). Sitka spruce branches yield obtained from 10.1007/s10342-005-0093-3.
-	Tree branches	0.21	0.0004	
-	Food waste		-	
-	Poultry manure		-	
-	Pig manure		-	
-	Horticultural waste e.g plant biomass from mushrooms and tomato crops		-	
-	Digestate from AD plants		-	From estimated sawmill residues (unpublished informbio work) From estimated sawmill residues (unpublished informbio work)
-	Dairy sludge		-	
-	Brewers sludge		-	
-	Brewers grains		-	
	Straw		-	
	Poultry ash		-	
	Bone meal		-	
	De-barked timber from p	0.64	0.00	
	Saw dust	261,930.39	39.87	
	Pine cones		-	
	Rushes and gorse		-	
	Green manures e.g cover crops		-	
	Dairy washings		-	
	Flue gas from manufacturing plants		-	
	Food-chain losses i.e sub grade vegetables		-	



SECTION 3 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

T2.1:

Q2 Answers	Key word to find in "Description BBT"
Anaerobic digestion plants	anaerobic
Separators	separators
Pyrolysis Biochar kilns	pyrolysis
Debarkers	bark
Mixing equipment for poultry and farmyard manures	manure
Plant associated with poultry manure manufacture:	poultry
Centrifuge (separators)	separators
Air dryers (steriliser)	steriliser
Air coolers	air
Pelletisers	pellet

T2.2:

Q2 Answers	Key word to find in "Intended user / conditions of access"
Anaerobic digestion plants	farm
Separators	industry
Pyrolysis Biochar kilns	industry
Debarkers	forest
Mixing equipment for poultry and farmyard manures	farm
Plant associated with poultry manure manufacture:	farm
Centrifuge (separators)	industry
Air dryers (steriliser)	industry
Air coolers	industry
Pelletisers	forest

T2.3:

Q3 Answers	Key word to find in "Outcomes and final product"
Biogas	gas
struvite	phosphate
Separated slurry, solid and liquid fractions	slurry
Inoculated slurry	slurry
Incinerated poultry manure ash	ash
Bespoke bi-product fertilisers	fertiliser
Ash from incinerated brewers' grains	ash
Biofertilizer, sewage mixed with lime	fertiliser
Recycling of crop residues, e.g straw chopping and potato tops	recycle
Mushroom compost	compost

5.3.3 Information for the “Weight” sheet

Workshop answers regarding processing needs (as visual reference only)		Inventory answers to BBTs needs/problems statement		Manually add weight to BBTs after reading the workshop answers
<p>processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)</p>		BBT CODES	BBT NEEDS/PROBLEM STATEMENT	Weight
1	Incinerators for wood by-product ashes generation	IE10-Biorefinery Glas/GLAS	To improve the sustainability, value and resource efficiency of Ireland's livestock sector through farmer diversification into the bioeconomy and to assess the potential role of grass biorefinery in supporting sustainable and resilient communities in rural Ireland.	-1
2	Mulchers and chippers for wood by-products	IT11-FABER/FABER	Forest biomass and its management to address climate change and GHG emissions.	-1
3	Greater number of anaerobic digesters	FI12-ForestChip4Farm/FC4FH	Preventing climate change, increasing bioenergy in rural areas, decreasing CO2 emissions from farms and the food chain, promoting a sustainable food chain, and developing new innovations and products.	-1
4		DE13-Lignocellulosic Biorefinery/LIGNO	To valorize the lignocellulosic residues (meadow grass) from farms in a sustainable way.	-1
5		EL14-BIO2CHP/B2CHP	bypasses technical limitations & allows the use of residual biomass for small-scale & on-site energy production	-1
6		IT15-Scarabeo/HMP2C	There is a need for sustainable and efficient methods in the hemp supply chain to increase quality, valorize and reduce energy consumption.	-1
7		HU16-REFERTIL3R2RO	Recycling and valorization of un-exploited farm and animal by-products	-1
8		IT17-Mountain Carbon/MNTNC	to help improve the management of the organic matter (OM) from dairy cattle manure in the mountain areas	-1
9		EE18-Hay Biosyngas/HAYBG	a cost-effective and efficient way to produce bio-coal from late harvested hay pellets to benefit small and medium-sized entrepreneurs	-1
10		FI19-Wood2Biogas/wd2BG	to produce and use the gasification gas to boost methane formation in the biogas process, and simultaneously produce biochar, and the use of woody materials in biogas energy production without increasing the amount of digestate from the biogas process	1
11		IT20-Clean-ER/CILNR	The accumulation of low economic value woody/shrub biomass in mountain areas poses a significant threat as it increases the challenges in efficiently drying alfalfa for animal feed, and a need to utilize manure and shredded wood from hedgerows	1
		FR21-SeCoPPA/ALFLF	The unutilised biomass is left to decay which returns carbon dioxide to the atmosphere while also control of vegetation, by herb	-1
		IE22-BBFB/CHAR	The intensification of Irish agriculture, particularly in dairying, has raised concerns about its environmental impact as it is a sign	-1
		IE23-SBDP/BGAS	Displacement of fossil based products with bio-based alternatives, while offering rural diversification opportunities.	-1
		DE24-GrassBiower/BWERT	Valorization of roadside grass clippings and improving its digestion with other feedstock, as monodigestion of grass can be tec	-1
		BE25-Grassification/GRSFY	A bio-based technology that supports valorizing, recycling and recovering of resources by providing a versatile and easy to im	-1
		FR26-Pyrogreen/PYROG	The grass from protected wetland areas in the polder meadows is heterogeneous, in parts strongly lignified (rigid) and its nutriti	-1
		DE27-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	Low quality natural and roadside grass are used for low added value applications such as compost which also includes costs	-1
		NL28-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	The conversion of annual crops such as maize, rapeseed and cereals into grassland can significantly reduce nitrate leaching	-1
		DK29-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	changing the paradigm of production and consumption of fossil-based composites and materials, such as plastics, by providi	-1
		PT30-Spawfoam/SPAWN	Since nowadays wood is getting more scarce caused by the growing demand in material and the energetic use, alternative so	1
		DE31-MixBioPells/PLTIZ	in Europe small-scale combustion units (20 to 200 kW) are used almost only with high quality wood fuels, and nowadays wood	1
		DE32-MixBioPells/PLTHP	Agricultural waste such as tomato and wheat wastes are not used, which has an environmental impact and a management co	-1
		DE33-BIOlution/BIOLT	To enhance the value of local raw materials to meet the increasing demand from consumers who are interested in buying local	-1
		FR34-GRANUL'HAIE/wdPLT	Waste recovery of cattle manure and other organic waste, to increase the biological and physical-chemical quality of agricultu	-1
		ES35-Bioferti/BFTZ+	a higher percentage of organic matter, a better soil structure and more binding of nutrients in the soil, a problem is formulated f	-1
		NL36-ManurePellet/MnPLT	to valorize agrifood biomass beyond the typical low added value applications such as fertilizer or biomass for digesters	-1
		IT37-BIOECO_FLIES/W2BSF	There is an undisputed and urgent need to decarbonise the European transport sector and seaweed can be a sustainable bic	-1
		DK38-Macrofuels/CWEEED	to define the best practices to guarantee maximum technical, economic and environmental efficiency in the processing, hanc	-1
		IT39-Res4Carbon/RES4C	The need to better articulate the various links in the wood energy sector in order to increase its visibility vis-à-vis potential cons	1
		FR40-SIVABA/wdPwr	to valorise the woody material coming from the municipal hollow roads and the wood edges after shredding on the local fields c	1
		BE41-BierbeekCHIP/wd2CN	a need to develop a production technology for a new type of fertilizer using wood ashes and digestate	1
		LT42-cogeneration residue/Res2F		1



AO	AP	AQ
BE41-BierbeekCHIP/wd2CN	to valorise the woody material coming from the municipal hollow roads and the wood edges after shredding on the local fields	1
LT42-cogeneration residue/Res2F	a need to develop a production technology for a new type of fertilizer using wood ashes and digestate	1
IT43-CAREGA/COAL	a gradual abandonment of the forests and a progressive decrease in commercial relations between forest owners, forestry cor	-1
IT44-COBRAF/COBRA	develop an articulated system of biorefineries that allows maximum exploitation of the biomass of oil crops (hemp, safflower, fla	-1
IT45-Stabilized Litter/StbMn	the impact of stabilized litter obtained from the solid/liquid separation of slurry subjected to a process of sanitation and stabiliza	1
IT46-BIOACTAMBIOAA	to develop and validate a new generation of products, based on the partial pyrolysis of ligno-cellulosic biomass deriving from fi	-1
PT47-GOE/luentes /GoEFt	increase the efficiency of water and nutrient utilization, reduce the environmental impact of farming and add value to agricultu	-1
ES48-INCREdible/RESIN	addressing challenges faced by resin as a non-wood forest product	-1
LV49-WoodResidueLV/W2IHF	developing innovative technological solutions to reclamation of wood processing by-products, further processing and adding	1
IT50-RBR-EAS/BCH4R	using different residual biomass with energy purposes (biofuel production), agricultural (production of fertilizer) and food (dieta	-1
AT-Closing cycles/INTREC	Farms need a reasonably closed nutrient cycle to recover energy and resources	-1
PL-BIOGAL/BIOGL	Livestock sector has several challenges because of the management of the resources and wastes produced. Manure is one of	-1
ES-LIFE Smart Fertirrigation/FRTGN	pig meat production generates large amounts of manure leading to important environmental problems and many anaerobic dig	1
BE-DIGESMART /DIGST	Biogas production is efficient at reducing agricultural emission by converting the biomass into electricity and thermal energy (c	1
NL-VORTEX/VORTX	Manure stripping innovation for efficiency and cost	-1
NL-Manure Evaporation/EVAPR	Different manure processing techniques are already available and the thick fraction can be well tolerated. However, the reduc	-1
DE-Manure Efficiency/MNURE	to develop a procedure for liquid slurry processing for agricultural enterprises, with which slurry and manure can be used to pro	-1
SE-Manure Refining/MNRRF	to produce concentrated, transport-efficient fertilizers from biogas plant that produces large amounts of digested manure, as	-1
BE-HIATUS/WATER	Almost every year, farmers face water shortages due to drought. Therefore, they are looking for alternatives for this valuable wa	-1
ES-GO IMECO/PgSLR	slurry management and treatment system for ensuring the product generated in pig farms is more competitive and has a lower i	-1
FI-LEX4BIO/BBFRT	reducing dependency on mineral and fossil-based fertilizers by optimizing the use of bio-based fertilizers (BBFs)	-1
IT-ProBEST/BARK	In the production of forest wood fuels, the presence of bark and twigs must be limited. these can be chipped and delivered, es	1
IT-ProBEST/ASHES	In the production of forest wood fuels, the incombustible elements (ash) must be limited. these can be delivered, essentially at	1
IT-FiLeProPri/W/SC	Face the problem of the socio-economic marginality of wood production in private property.	1
IT-ROSAEXTREM/NRH	Encourage the restructuring of farms with structural problems considerable	-1
IT-M.ER.LI.n/MERL	Analyze needs of partner companies to identify implementation opportunities innovative solutions that are energetically sustai	-1
IT-INNOVABIOZOO/INZOO	The project consists of a series of actions divided into the following areas work: preparatory phase, coordination and animatio	-1
ES-AgriCarbón/AC	In Andalusia, agricultural activities play an important role in the socio-economic development which generates contamination	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/BYPRO	Promotes possible alternatives for the valorisation of avocado by-products by studying the characterisation of their nutritional	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88%	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generate	-1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	-1
ES-BIOSUERO/WHEY	In Andalusia, it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 L of milk, it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 L of wh	-1
ES-Biochar/BIOCH	The project is mainly focused on the Western Coast of Huelva (Ayamonte, Isla Cristina, Lepe, Cartaya, Gibraleón, Punta Umbrí	-1
ES-BIORUMOLI/FEED	Need to increase the profitability of livestock farms and create an alternative for the olive oil industry by achieving a more effic	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIVE	The olive-mill wastewater constitute one of the most important environmental problems in olive cooperatives, since they are ch	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIV2	The reuse and recovery of olive by-products are essential to reduce environmental pollution and contribute to increasing the e	-1
CZ-TJ02000130/	Among the difficult tasks are the application of fugate (a by-product of biogas production) and the dependence on peat (a no	-1
CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is quite	-1
CZ-TH03030319/	Efficient use of processed field by-products.	-1
CZ-QK1710379/	Use of sewage sludge as a valuable waste raw material in agriculture.	-1
CZ-TH02030681/	The establishment of field crops (maize) is currently carried out in the form of monoculture. This causes surface runoff of rainw	-1
CZ-TH02030925/	Increasing the composting rate, especially when processing bulky materials (urban green waste, sludge), which are increasing	-1
CZ-SS06020282/	Conventional mechanical recycling of biopolymers is currently not a technologically feasible process, as their products often e	-1
CZ-TK04010166/	There is a need to update biomass potential in the light of changing factors affecting land use and biomass yields, including up	-1
CZ-FW06010358/	Reduction of the consumption of liquid substances (including liquid fertilisers) per unit area, in particular better use of these su	-1
EL11-HIPO-ENERGY/NUTRI	- management of Hippophae leaves, which are cultivated only for the harvesting of the fruit- existing studies highlight the nutr	-1
EL12-AEIΦΟΡΙΚΑ ΚΗΠΕΥΤΙΚΑ/OREG	control of downy mildew (caused by soil fungi with negative effects in the production) in the context of the circular economy ar	-1
EL13-OLIHERR/OLIVE	management of the significant quantities of olive leaves produced as by-products during cultivation (pruning), harvesting of ol	-1
EL14-BioAnimalChar/PIG	cost and quality of pig feed	-1
EL15-COMPOST-INNO/COMPO	- lack of sufficient amounts of organic waste in the cultivation sites - distance of the sources of organic matter from the places	-1
EL16-Compo - Lavender/COLAV	increase of the production and the quality of lavender oil	-1

EL14-BioAnimalChar/PIG	cost and quality of pig feed	-1
EL15-COMPOST-INNO/COMPO	- lack of sufficient amounts of organic waste in the cultivation sites - distance of the sources of organic matter from the places	-1
EL16-Compo - Laventer/COLAV	increase of the production and the quality of lavender oil	-1
EL17-AGROSCHOOLBUS.BIO/RESOL	Sustainable management of the residues (branches and leaves), pruning of the olive tree (and other productive trees).	-1
EL18-SoilCircle/	Due to the negative effects on crop growth and the environment from the use of synthetic fertilisers, it is recommended to apply	-1
EL19-ΥΠΕΙΛΑΠΤΟΣ/ΥΟΓΟ	Exploitation of both goat milk and espresso coffee residues	-1
EL20-Innovative Rice Residue Management Pr	Handling plant residues remaining in the rice fields after harvesting. Their burning was banned because of the reduction in org	-1
EL21-ΕΛΛΙΩΝΑΣ/OLFER	Management of waste and wastewater generated during the olive farming and olive oil production processes	-1
PL91-OrzechDębu/ACORN	Changing trends in consumer attitudes and food preferences towards reducing the consumption of meat and animal products	-1
PL93-GRIST/GRIST	Organic grain production and processing of brewery residues into meal, using an innovative dehydration method	-1
pl94-Qwoce 4.0/Qwoce 4.0	Development of technology dedicated to currant plantations	-1

5.4 Italy

5.4.1 Information for the “Workshop Answers” sheet.

FAN Country:	Italy
FAN Region:	North West

Q1 r

ID Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?

1	Wastewater
2	Chestnut coppicing
3	Forest resource
4	Woody pruning residues
5	Herbaceous pruning residues
6	Olive mill effluents
7	Depleted substrates
8	Agro-food waste
9	Olive pomace
10	Uncultivated land
11	Grape marc
12	Manure
13	Whey
14	Male calves (They are sent for slaughter in the Netherlands when a valorization chain could be created locally).

Q2 r

ID Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?

1	Plant for compost from green pruning residues
2	Biochar
3	Biogas production from converting farm by-products with farm-scale anaerobic digesters
4	Vermicompost
5	Nutraceutical compounds extraction plants
6	Distillation apparatus, e.g., Clevenger
7	Microalgae production plants
8	Local wood processing facilities, e.g., sawmills, debarker
9	Compost and biogas from olive-mill waste
10	Plants for processing whey into feed or infant milk powder
11	Cosmetics from processing grapes residues

Q3. t

Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?

ID	
1	Biogas
2	Compost
3	Energy
4	Biomasses
5	Wood processing residues
6	Frozen insect larvae
7	Digested substrate - frass fertilizer
8	Hazelnut shells for secondary metabolite combustion
9	Wood waste for construction materials
10	Biofertilizers and biostimulants from animal compounds - fish
11	Mushroom production on aromatic plant residues
12	

Q4. t

Q4. What are your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources?
(identified needs should be ranked from high to low)

ID	
1	Transformation chains of plant residues into second raw materials - e.g. Biostimulants, phytosanitary products
2	Innovation
3	Resources for investments
4	Territorial and/or supply chain projects
5	Dissemination visibility- e.g. advertising
6	Transformation plants
7	Recovery chain of depleted substrates from floriculture
8	Material and assortment storage yards
9	Forestry and woodworking mobile equipment – e.g. mobile sawmill, woodchippers, etc.
10	Drying equipment
11	Production of thermoplastic starch for the manufacturing of biodegradable or compostable materials - e.g. Bags for floriculture, pots and containers for fruit growing, clips, etc.

Q5.1. t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?
Values provided by the FAN members: Range of farm size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha): e.g. 10 – 400 ha

ID	
1	1.00 - 50.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.2. t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?
Values provided by the FAN members: Range of forest size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha):

ID	
1	2.00-10.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.3_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data:	
ID	Average farm size (ha):
1	16
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.4_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data:	
ID	Average forest size (ha):
1	6.2
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.5_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data:	
ID	Region total farmed area (ha):
1	920,801.00
2	42,397.00
3	62,639.00
4	1,025,837.00
5	12,431,808.00
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.6_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? National statistics data:	
ID	Region total forest area (ha):
1	143,768.00
2	29,487.00
3	18,678.00
4	191,933.00
5	2,653,698.00
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q6.1_t

Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the **short term time (2 years)** to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? **Range €**

ID	
1	€ 2,250.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q6.2_t

Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the **long-term time (5 years)** to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? **Range €**

ID	
1	€ 2,250.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q7_t

Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology? Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).

ID	
1	10
2	11
3	12
4	13
5	14
6	15
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q8.1_t

Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?

ID	
1	CREA
2	National Rural Network
3	AGRION
4	Territorial Forestry Consortia
5	ISPRA
6	Universities
7	Ministry – Forestry Direction
8	Piedmont Region
9	Confindustria Cuneo
10	CLEVER innovation hub
11	Chimica Verde Bionet
12	Food and Wine hub
13	CIC composters consortium
14	4p1000
15	Global Soil Partnership

Q8.2 t		Q8.3 t	
<div> ID Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory </div> <div> 1 applied research 2 consultation 3 applied research 4 Partnership 5 applied research 6 applied research 7 Consultation 8 Consultation 9 advisory 10 Networking 11 Networking 12 Networking 13 Advisory+ networking 14 Networking+training 15 Networking+training </div>		<div> ID Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners. </div> <div> 1 open 2 open 3 open 4 open 5 open 6 open 7 open 8 open 9 10 </div>	

Q9_t	
ID	Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?
1	Leader farmers
2	Technical studies (consultants – agronomists – environmental engineers)
3	International scientific publications
4	Various magazines
5	Associations and foundations
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	

Q10_t	
ID	Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?
1	Wastewater
2	Accumulation of phytosanitary products
3	Accumulation of water-insoluble organic components
4	Even when using circular techniques, it's important for the byproduct to be local; otherwise, there's an impact from transportation
5	Accumulation of chemical substances in aquifers and external storage tanks (drugs, PFAS, Triazine, glyphosate)
6	Use of sewage sludge or related residues in agricultural fields
7	Hydrogeological instability due to abandonment of mountain territories
8	
9	
10	

Q11_t	
ID	Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the unemployed, and the youth in this area?
1	The older the entrepreneur, the more likely they are to make investments
2	Young people are more interested in closing the supply chain and engaging in multifunctional farm activities
3	No differences observed between men and women
4	Higher salaries to allow a larger and more normal family life
5	Workplace closer to your home (smart-working essential)
6	Non-toxic working place
7	Personal growth at work
8	Promote the multifunctionality of the forest and the multidisciplinary to manage the forest in a sustainable way and develop ecosystem services
9	
10	
11	

Q12_t	
ID	Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what improvements could be implemented to make the process more circular?
1	Local-level organization with specific objectives and strategic actions
2	Increases in public funding for these investments reducing the bureaucracy.
3	Joining forces to support the expenses of a new technologies.
4	Entrepreneurial capacity
5	Reduction in investment payback periods
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q13_t

ID Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way?

1 Innovative composting cycle for depleted floriculture substrates

2 Wastewater treatment methods

3 Sustainable logistics (electric, or hydrogen, or biomethane trucks, Etc.)

4 Public investments or rewards in sustainable working groups or businesses

5

6

7

8

9

10



5.4.2 Information for the “TranslateTables” sheet.

FAN Country:	Italy
FAN Region:	North

SECTION 1 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM DROPDOWN FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

T1.1

Q1 Answers	Reference sector
Wastewater	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Chestnut coppicing	A1.2Growing of perennial crops A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities
Forest resource	
Woody pruning residues	A1.2Growing of perennial crops
Herbaceous pruning residues	A1.1Growing of non-perennial crops A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Olive mill effluents	
Depleted substrates	A1.1Growing of non-perennial crops A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Agro-food waste	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Olive pomace	
Uncultivated land	A1.1Growing of non-perennial crops A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Grape marc	
Manure	A1.4Animal production A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Whey	
Male cloves (They are sent for slaughter in the Netherlands when a valorization chain could be created locally).	A1.4Animal production
</	

T14.1		T15.1	
Q1 Answers	Feedstock	Q1 Answers	Value chains
Wastewater	Wastes	Wastewater	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Chestnut coppicing	Biomass residues	Chestnut coppicing	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Forest resource	Biomass	Forest resource	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Woody pruning residues	Biomass residues	Woody pruning residues	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Herbaceous pruning residues	Biomass residues	Herbaceous pruning residues	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Olive mill effluents	Wastes	Olive mill effluents	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Depleted substrates	Biomass	Depleted substrates	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Agro-food waste	Wastes	Agro-food waste	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Olive pomace	Biomass residues	Olive pomace	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Uncultivated land	Biomass	Uncultivated land	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Grape marc	Wastes	Grape marc	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Manure	Wastes	Manure	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Whey	Wastes	Whey	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035
Male calves (They are sent for slaughter in the Netherlands when a valorization chain could be created locally)	Biomass	Male calves (They are sent for slaughter in the Netherlands when a valorization chain could be created locally).	1- Low potential - Low to medium arizings of feedstock available between 2023-2035

TS.1.1	TS.2.1	TS.3.1	
Q8.1 Answers: key stakeholders	Nature of collaboration	Type of collaboration	Type of partners
CREA	Applied research	Open	Researcher
Nationa Rural Network	Consultation	Open	Advisor
AGRION	Applied research	Open	Researcher
Territorial Forestry Consortia	Partnership	Open	Advisor
ISPRA	Applied research	Open	Researcher
Universities	Applied research	Open	Researcher
Ministry - Forestry Direction	Consultation	Open	Advisor
Piedmont Region	Consultation	Open	Advisor
Confindustria Cuneo	Advisory		Advisor
CLEVER innovation hub	Networking		Other
Chimica Verde Bionet	Networking		Other
Food and Wine hub	Networking		Other
CIC composters consortium	Advisory+ networking		Advisor
4p1000	Networking+training		Training organization
Global Soil Partnership	Networking+training		Training organization

[illegible]

SECTION 2 - ADDING EXTRA INFORMATION TO TRANSLATE VALUES FROM WORKSHOP ANSWERS

T5.3.1				T5.4.1			
Q5.3 Answers. National statistics data, Average to Biomasses identified from Q1				Q5.4 Answers. National statistics data, Average to Biomasses identified from Q1			
Biomasses identified from Q1		Avg. yearly yield of biomasses per farm	Estimated Avg. tonnes of biomass per farm per day	Biomasses identified from Q1		Avg. yearly yield of biomasses per farm	Estimated Avg. tonnes of biomass per farm per day
16.00 Wastewater				6.20 Wastewater			
- Chestnut coppicing				- Chestnut coppicing			
- Forest resource				- Forest resource			
- Woody pruning residues				- Woody pruning residues			
- Herbaceous pruning residues				- Herbaceous pruning residues			
- Olive mill effluents				- Olive mill effluents			
- Depleted substrates				- Depleted substrates			
- Agro-food waste				- Agro-food waste			
- Olive pomace				- Olive pomace			
- Uncultivated land				- Uncultivated land			
- Grape marc				- Grape marc			
- Manure				- Manure			
- Whey				- Whey			
- Male claws (They are sent for slaughter in the Netherlands when a valorization chain could be created)				- Male claws (They are sent for slaughter in the Netherlands when a valorization chain could be created)			

SECTION 3 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

T2.1.1	
Q2 Answers	Key word to find in "Description BBT"
Plant for compost from green pruning residues	compost
Biochar	pyrolysis
Biogas production from converting farm by-product	anaerobic digestion
Vermicompost	vermicompost
Nutraceutical compounds extraction plants	extraction
Distillation apparatus, e.g., Clevenger	distillation
Microalgae production plants	microalgae
Local wood processing facilities, e.g., sawmills, debarker	wood
Compost and biogas from olive-mill waste	olive
Plants for processing whey into feed or infant milk powder	whey
Cosmetics from processing grapes residues	grape

T2.2.1	
Q2 Answers	Key word to find in "Intended user / conditions of access"
Plant for compost from green pruning residues	pruning
Biochar	biochar
Biogas production from converting farm by-product	biogas
Vermicompost	vermicompost
Nutraceutical compounds extraction plants	extraction
Distillation apparatus, e.g., Clevenger	distillation
Microalgae production plants	algae
Local wood processing facilities, e.g., sawmills, debarker	sawmill
Compost and biogas from olive-mill waste	biogas
Plants for processing whey into feed or infant milk powder	milk
Cosmetics from processing grapes residues	cosmetic

T2.1	
Q3 Answers	Key word to find in "Outcomes and final product"
Biogas	gas
Compost	compost
Energy	energy
Biomasses	biomass
Wood processing residues	wood
Frozen insect larvae	insect
Digested substrate - frass fertilizer	fertiliser
Hazelnut shells for secondary metabolite	combustion
Wood waste for construction materials	construction
Biofertilizers and biostimulants from animal fertiliser	
Mushroom production on aromatic plant	mushroom

LT42-cogeneration residue/Res2F	a need to develop a production technology for a new type of fertilizer using wood ashes and digestate	1
IT43-CAREGA/4COAL	a gradual abandonment of the forests and a progressive decrease in commercial relations between forest owners, forestry companies	1
IT44-COBRAF/COBRA	develop an articulated system of biorefineries that allows maximum exploitation of the biomass of oil crops (hemp, safflower, flax)	-1
IT45-Stabilized Litter/StbMn	the impact of stabilized litter obtained from the solid/liquid separation of slurry subjected to a process of sanitation and stabilization	-1
IT46-BIOACTAM/BIOAA	to develop and validate a new generation of products, based on the partial pyrolysis of ligno-cellulosic biomass deriving from forest	1
PT47-GOEfluentes/GoEft	increase the efficiency of water and nutrient utilization, reduce the environmental impact of farming and add value to agricultural w	-1
ES48-INCREdible/RESIN	addressing challenges faced by resin as a non-wood forest product	1
LV49-WoodResidueLV/W2IHF	developing innovative technological solutions to reclamation of wood processing by-products, further processing and adding value to	1
IT50-RBR-EAS/BCH4R	using different residual biomass with energy purposes (biofuel production), agricultural (production of fertilizer) and food (dietary s	1
AT-Closing cycles/NTREC	Farms need a reasonably closed nutrient cycle to recover energy and resources	1
PL-BIOGAL/BIOGL	Livestock sector has several challengesbecause of the management of the resources and wastes produced. Manure is one of the biggest	1
ES-LIFE Smart Fertirrigation/FRTGN	pig meat production generates large amounts of manure leading to important environmental problems and many anaerobic digestio	-1
BE-DIGESMART /DIGST	Biogas production is efficient at reducing agricultural emission by converting the biomass into electricity and thermal energy (cogeni	-1
NL-VORTEX/VORTX	Manure stripping innovation for efficiency and cost	-1
NL-Manure Evaporation/EVAPR	Different manure processing techniques are already available and the thick fraction can be well tolerated. However, the reduction o	-1
DE-Manure Efficiency/MNURE	to develop a procedure for liquid slurry processing for agricultural enterprises, with which slurry and manure can be used to produce	1
SE-Manure Refining/MNRRF	to produce concentrated, transport-efficient fertilizers from biogas plant that produces large amounts of digested manure, as well as	1
BE-HIATUS/WATER	Almost every year, farmers face water shortages due to drought. Therefore, they are looking for alternatives for this valuable water,	-1
ES-GO IMECO/PgSLR	slurry management and treatment system for ensuring the product generated in pig farms is more competitive and has a lower enviro	-1
FI-LEX4BIO/BBFRT	reducing dependency on mineral and fossil-based fertilizers by optimizing the use of bio-based fertilizers (BBFs)	1
IT-ProBEST/BARK	In the production of forest wood fuels, the presence of bark and twigs must be limited. these can be chipped and delivered, essentially	1
IT-ProBEST/ASHES	In the production of forest wood fuels, the incombustible elements (ash) must be limited. these can be delivered, essentially at cost	1
IT-FileProPri/WSC	Face the problem of the socio-economic marginality of wood production in private property.	1
IT-ROSAEXTREM/NRH	Encourage the restructuring of farms with structural problems considerable	-1
IT-M.ER.LI.n/MERL	Analyze needs of partner companies to identify implementation opportunities innovative solutions that are energetically sustainabl	-1
IT-INNOVABI0200/INZOO	The project consists of a series of actions divided into the following areas work: preparatory phase, coordination and animation, ado	-1
ES-AgriCarbón/AC	In Andalusia, agricultural activities play an important role in the socio-economic development which generates contamination in sup	1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/BYPRO	Promotes possible alternatives for the valorisation of avocado by-products by studying the characterisation of their nutritional profil	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88% of the to	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generated in the	1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	1
ES-BIOSUERO/WHEY	In Andalusia, it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 L of milk, it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 L of whey.	-1
ES-Biochar/BIOCH	The project is mainly focused on the Western Coast of Huelva (Ayamonte, Isla Cristina, Lepe, Cartaya, Gibralfuente, Punta Umbria and A	-1
ES-BIORUMIOLI/FEED	Need to increase the profitability of livestock farms and create an alternative for the olive oil industry by achieving a more efficient	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIVE	The olive-mill waterwaste constitute one of the most important environmental problems in olive cooperatives, since they are chara	1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIV2	The reuse and recovery of olive by-products are essential to reduce environmental pollution and contribute to increasing the effici	1
CZ-TJ02000130/	Among the difficult tasks are the application of fugate (a by-product of biogas production) and the dependence on peat (a non-renew	-1
CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and we have	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is quite cor	-1
CZ-TH03030319/	Efficient use of processed field by-products.	-1
CZ-QK1710379/	Use of sewage sludge as a valuable waste raw material in agriculture.	-1
CZ-TH02030681/	The establishment of field crops (maize) is currently carried out in the form of monoculture. This causes surface runoff of rainwater i	-1
CZ-TH02030925/	Increasing the composting rate, especially when processing bulky materials (urban green waste, sludge), which are increasingly proc	-1
CZ-SS06020282/	Conventional mechanical recycling of biopolymers is currently not a technologically feasible process, as their products often end up	-1
CZ-TK04010166/	There is a need to update biomass potential in the light of changing factors affecting land use and biomass yields, including updating	1
CZ-FW06010358/	Reduction of the consumption of liquid substances (including liquid fertilisers) per unit area, in particular better use of these subst	-1
EL11-HIPO-ENERGY/NUTRI	- management of Hippophae leaves, which are cultivated only for the harvesting of the fruit- existing studies highlight the nutritio	-1
EL12-AEIΦOPHIKA KHNEYTIKA/OREG	control of downy mildew (caused by soil fungi with negative effects in the production) in the context of the circular economy and the	-1
EL13-OLIVERB/OLIVE	management of the significant quantities of olive leaves produced as by-products during cultivation (pruning), harvesting of olives a	1
EL14-BioAnimalChar/PIG	cost and quality of pig feed	-1
EL15-COMPOST-INNO/COMPO	- lack of sufficient amounts of organic waste in the cultivation sites - distance of the sources of organic matter from the places of pro	-1
EL16-Compo - Laventer/COLAV	increase of the production and the quality of lavender oil	-1
EL17-AGROSCHOOLBUS.BIO/RESOL	Sustainable management of the residues (branches and leaves), pruning of the olive tree (and other productive trees).	1
EL18-SoilCircle/	Due to the negative effects on crop growth and the environment from the use of synthetic fertilisers, it is recommended to apply so	1
EL19-YFETIAPTOZ/YOGO	Exploitation of both goat milk and espresso coffee residues	-1
EL20-Innovative Rice Residue Management Practic	Handling plant residues remaining in the rice fields after harvesting. Their burning was banned because of the reduction in organic m	1
EL21-EΛAIONAZ/OLFER	Management of waste and wastewater generated during the olive farming and olive oil production processes	1
PL91-OrzechDębu/ACORN	Changing trends in consumer attitudes and food preferences towards reducing the consumption of meat and animal products. The w	-1
PL93-GRIST/GRIST	Organic grain production and processing of brewery residues into meal, using an innovative dehydration method	-1
pl94-Owoce 4.0/Owoce 4.0	Development of technology dedicated to currant plantations	-1

5.5 Poland

5.5.1 Information for the “Workshop Answers” sheet.

FAN Country:	Poland
FAN Region:	Poland

Q1_t

ID	Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?
1	Straw
2	Manure
3	Slurry
4	fruits and vegetables press waste
5	grass
6	Timber
7	roots
8	branches
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

Q2_t

ID	Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?
1	anaerobic digestion plants
2	manure separators
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	

Q3. t

Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?

ID	
1	bio-fertiliser
2	soil conditioner
3	compost
4	biogas
5	digestate
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	

Q4. t

Q4. What are your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources?
(identified needs should be ranked from high to low)

ID	
1	root harvesting equipment
2	Biogas production from converting farm by-products with farm-scale anaerobic digesters
3	Press cake and protein for cattle and pig feed, respectively, using green biorefineries processing grasses and other green leaves
4	Biochar production
5	RENURE fertiliser production- Recovery Nitrogen from manure
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	

Q5.1. t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?
Values provided by the FAN members: Range of farm size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha): e.g. 10 – 400 ha

ID	
1	10-1000
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.2. t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?
Values provided by the FAN members: Range of forest size of the stakeholders which FAN members interact with (ha):

ID	
1	10-1000
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.3_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Average farm size (ha):
1	11.3
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.4_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Average forest size (ha):
1	0.243
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.5_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Region total farmed area (ha):
1	14,126,274.83
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.6_t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?	
National statistics data:	
ID	Region total forest area (ha):
1	9,476,925.67
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	



Q6.1_t		
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the short-term time (2 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €		
ID		
1	€	244,185.90
2	€	366,278.85
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

Q6.2_t		
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the long-term time (5 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €		
ID		
1	€	1,395,348.60
2	€	2,093,022.90
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

Q7_t		
Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology? Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).		
ID		
1		3
2		4
3		5
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

Q8.1_t	
Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?	
ID	
1	farmers
2	scientific institutions
3	farmers, entrepreneurs, scientific institutions
4	local government administration
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q8.2_t		Q8.3_t	
<div> <div>ID</div> <div>Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory</div> </div>		<div> <div>ID</div> <div>Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners.</div> </div>	
1 advisory		1 open	
2 cooperation in project implementation		2 open	
3 cooperation within the cluster		3 open	
4 cooperation in organizing local workshops and agricultural fairs		4 open	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q9_t		Q10_t	
<div> <div>ID</div> <div>Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?</div> </div>		<div> <div>ID</div> <div>Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?</div> </div>	
1 advisory service		1 fertilization	
2 internet		Expansion related to the development of construction. Occupying suburban areas that were previously used for agriculture or as suburban recreational areas for development	
3		3 extensive forest management	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			

Q11_t		Q12_t	
ID	Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the unemployed, and the youth in this area?	ID	Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what improvements could be implemented to make the process more circular?
1	youth education at school	1	slurry separation
2	organization of workshops with presentation of success stories of specific farms, start-ups	2	root harvesting equipment
3	Open days for farmers	3	processing animal excrement into modern fertilizers: RENURE -Recovered Nitrogen from manure
4	Promotion at Rural Housewives' Associations (KGW) are a representative example of social organisations shaped in the spirit of Polish tradition)	4	processing of agro-food waste
5		5	biochar production
6		6	pellet from agricultural waste
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	
11			

Q13_t	
ID	Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way?
1	Introduction of technology to produce RENURE
2	Introduction of biomass coaling installation
3	mobile pellet production machine
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

5.5.2 Information for the “TranslateTables” sheet.

FAN Country: Poland

FAN Region: Poland

SECTION 1 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM DROPDOWN FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

T1.1_t

Q1 Answers	Reference sector
Straw	A1.1Growing of non-perennial crops
Manure	A1.4Animal production
Slurry	A1.4Animal production
Fruits and vegetables press waste	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Grass	A1.2Growing of perennial crops
Timber	A2.2Logging
Roots	A2.2Logging
Branches	A2.2Logging

T1.2_t

Q1 Answers	Key Word
Straw	Arable crops
Manure	Animal husbandry
Slurry	Animal husbandry
Fruits and vegetables press waste	Food security, safety, quality, processing and nutrition
Grass	Fodder and feed
Timber	Forestry
Roots	Forestry
Branches	Forestry

T1.3_t		T1.4_t	
Q1 Answers	Categories	Q1 Answers	Feedstock
Straw	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Straw	Biomass residues
Manure	Waste or recycled material FC	Manure	Wastes
Slurry	Waste or recycled material FC	Slurry	Wastes
Fruits and vegetables press waste	Waste or recycled material FC	Fruits and vegetables press waste	Biomass residues
Grass	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Grass	Biomass
Timber	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Timber	Biomass
Roots	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Roots	Biomass residues
Branches	Crop residues and perennial plants F1	Branches	Biomass residues

[illegible][illegible]78.1 t[illegible]

	In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options are low, medium, or high potential of carbon sequestration as low, medium, or high potential of carbon emissions . The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.			In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options are low, medium, or high potential of environmental benefits as low, medium, or high potential of environmental impacts . The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.
<i>T10.1_t</i>		<i>T10.2_t</i>		
Q10 Answers	C Sink	Q10 Answers	Envi Sustainability	
Fertilization	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).	Fertilization	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.	
Expansion related to the development of construction. Occupying suburban areas that were previously used for agriculture or as suburban recreational areas for development	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.	Expansion related to the development of construction. Occupying suburban areas that were previously used for agriculture or as suburban recreational areas for development	2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 environmental benefits.	
Extensive forest management	1 - Low potential - low potential for carbon sequestration	Extensive forest management	2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 environmental benefits.	

T11_t

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SECTION 3 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

[illegible][illegible]

T3_t

Q3 Answers	Key word to find in "Outcomes and final product"
Bio-fertiliser	fertilizer
Soil conditioner	soil
Compost	compost
Biogas	biogas
Digestate	digestate



5.5.3 Information for the “Weight” sheet

AL	AM	AO	AP	AQ
Workshop answers regarding processing needs (as visual reference only)		Inventory answers to BBTs needs/problems statement		Manually add weight to BBTs after reading the workshop answers
processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)		BBT NEEDS/PROBLEM STATEMENT		Weight
1	root harvesting equipment	IE10-Biorefinery Glas/GLAS	To improve the sustainability, value and resource efficiency of Ireland's livestock sector through farmer diversification into the bioeconomy and to assess the potential role of grass biorefinery in supporting sustainable and resilient communities in rural Ireland.	1
2	Biogas production from converting farm by-products with farm-scale anaerobic digesters	IT11-FABER/FABER	Forest biomass and its management to address climate change and GHG emissions.	1
3	Press cake and protein for cattle and pig feed, respectively, using green biorefineries processing grasses and other green leaves	FI12-ForestChip4Farm/FC4FH	Preventing climate change, increasing bioenergy in rural areas, decreasing CO2 emissions from farms and the food chain, promoting a sustainable food chain, and developing new innovations and products.	-1
4	Biochar production	DE13-Lignocellulosic Biorefinery/LIGNO	To valorize the lignocellulosic residues (meadow grass) from farms in a sustainable way.	-1
5	RENURE fertiliser production- Recovery Nitrogen from manure	EL14-BIO2CHP/B2CHP	bypasses technical limitations & allows the use of residual biomass for small-scale & on-site energy production	-1
6		IT15-Scarabeo/HMP2C	There is a need for sustainable and efficient methods in the hemp supply chain to increase quality, valorize and reduce energy consumption.	-1
7		HU16-REFERTIL/3R2RO	Recycling and valorization of un-exploited farm and animal by-products	-1
8		IT17-Mountain Carbon/MNTNC	to help improve the management of the organic matter (OM) from dairy cattle manure in the mountain areas	-1
9		EE18-Hay Biosyngas/HAYBG	a cost-effective and efficient way to produce bio-coal from late harvested hay pellets to benefit small and medium-sized entrepreneurs	-1
10		FI19-Wood2Biogas/Wd2BG	to produce and use the gasification gas to boost methane formation in the biogas process, and simultaneously produce biochar, and the use of woody materials in biogas energy production without increasing the amount of digestate from the biogas process	1
11		IT20-Clean-ERI/CLINR	The accumulation of low economic value woody/shrub biomass in mountain areas poses a significant threat as it increases the challenges in efficiently drying alfalfa for animal feed, and a need to utilize manure and shredded wood from hedgerows	1
		FR21-SeCoPPA /ALFLF	The unutilised biomass is left to decay which returns carbon dioxide to the atmosphere while also control of vegetation, by herbicides	-1
		IE22-BBFB/CHAR	The intensification of Irish agriculture, particularly in dairying, has raised concerns about its environmental impact as it is a sign of displacement of fossil based products with bio-based alternatives, while offering rural diversification opportunities.	1
		IE23-SBDP/BGAS	Valorization of roadside grass clippings and improving its digestion with other feedstock, as monodigestion of grass can be tedious	-1
		DE24-GrassBiowert/BWERT	A bio-based technology that supports valorizing, recycling and recovering of resources by providing a versatile and easy to implement	-1
		BE25-Grassification/GRSFY	The grass from protected wetland areas in the polder meadows is heterogeneous, in parts strongly lignified (rigid) and its nutritive value is low	1
		FR26-Pyrogreen/PYROG	Low quality natural and roadside grass are used for low added value applications such as compost which also includes costs	-1
		DE27-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	The conversion of annual crops such as maize, rapeseed and cereals into grassland can significantly reduce nitrate leaching	-1
		NL28-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	changing the paradigm of production and consumption of fossil-based composites and materials, such as plastics, by providing a sustainable alternative	1
		DK29-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	Since nowadays wood is getting more scarce caused by the growing demand in material and the energetic use, alternative sources of biomass are needed	-1
		PT30-Spawfoam/SPAWN	in Europe small-scale combustion units (20 to 200 kW) are used almost only with high quality wood fuels, and nowadays wood is becoming more expensive	-1
		DE31-MixBioPells/PLTIZ	Agricultural waste such as tomato and wheat wastes are not used, which has an environmental impact and a management cost	-1
		DE32-MixBioPells/PLTHP	To enhance the value of local raw materials to meet the increasing demand from consumers who are interested in buying local products	-1
		DE33-BIOlution/BIOILT	Waste recovery of cattle manure and other organic waste, to increase the biological and physical-chemical quality of agricultural products	-1
		FR34-GRANUL'HAIE/WdPLT	a higher percentage of organic matter, a better soil structure and more binding of nutrients in the soil, a problem is formulated for the agricultural sector	-1
		ES35-Bioferti+IBFTZ+	to valorize agrofood biomass beyond the typical low added value applications such as fertilizer or biomass for digesters	-1
		NL36-ManurePellet/MnPLT	There is an undisputed and urgent need to decarbonise the European transport sector and seaweed can be a sustainable bio-based alternative	-1
		IT37-BIOECO_FLIES/W2BSF	to define the best practices to guarantee maximum technical, economic and environmental efficiency in the processing, handling and distribution	1
		DK38-Macrofuels/CwEED		
		IT39-Res4Carbon/RES4C		



IT37-BIOECO_FLIES/W2BSF	to valorize agrifood biomass beyond the typical low added value applicaitons such as fertilizer or biomass for digesters	-1
DK38-Macrofuels/CWEED	There is an undisputed and urgent need to decarbonise the European transport sector and seaweed can be a sustainable bio-based f	-1
IT39-Res4Carbon/RES4C	to define the best practices to guarantee maximum technical, economic and environmental efficiency in the processing, handling and	1
FR40-SIVABA/WdPwr	The need to better articulate the various links in the wood energy sector in order to increase its visibility vis-à-vis potential consume	1
BE41-BierbeekCHIP/Wd2CN	to valorise the woody material coming from the municipal hollow roads and the wood edges after shredding on the local fields of Bi	-1
LT42-cogeneration residue/Res2F	a need to develop a production technology for a new type of fertilizer using wood ashes and digestate	-1
IT43-CAREGA/4COAL	a gradual abandonment of the forests and a progressive decrease in commercial relations between forest owners, forestry compani	-1
IT44-COBRAF/COBRA	develop an articulated system of biorefineries that allows maximum exploitation of the biomass of oil crops (hemp, safflower, flax	-1
IT45-Stabilized Litter/StbMn	the impact of stabilized litter obtained from the solid/liquid separation of slurry subjected to a process of sanitation and stabilizatio	-1
IT46-BIOACTAM/BIOAA	to develop and validate a new generation of products, based on the partial pyrolysis of ligno-cellulosic biomass deriving from forest	-1
PT47-GOEfluentes /GoEft	increase the efficiency of water and nutrient utilization, reduce the environmental impact of farming and add value to agricultural w	-1
ES48-INCREdible/RESIN	addressing challenges faced by resin as a non-wood forest product	-1
LV49-WoodResidueLV/W2IHF	developing innovative technological solutions to reclamation of wood processing by-products, further processing and adding value t	-1
IT50-RBR-EAS/BCH4R	using different residual biomass with energy purposes (biofuel production), agricultural (production of fertilizer) and food (dietary :	-1
AT-Closing cycles/NTREC	Farms need a reasonably closed nutrient cycle to recover energy and resources	-1
PL-BIOGAL/BIOGL	Livestock sector has several challengesbecause of the management of theresources and wastes produced. Manure isone of the bigg	1
ES-LIFE Smart Fertirrigation/FRTGN	pig meat production generates large amounts of manure leading to important environmental problems and many anaerobic digestio	1
BE-DIGESMART /DIGST	Biogas production is efficient at reducing agricultural emission by converting the biomass into electricity and thermal energy (cogen	1
NL-VORTEX/VORTX	Manure stripping innovation for efficiency and cost	-1
NL-Manure Evaporation/EVAPR	Different manure processing techniques are already available and the thick fraction can be well tolerated. However, the reduction c	-1
DE-Manure Efficiency/MNURE	to develop a procedure for liquid slurry processing for agricultural enterprises, with which slurry and manure can be used to produ	-1
SE-Manure Refining/MNRRF	to produce concentrated, transport-efficient fertilizers from biogas plant that produces large amounts of digested manure, as well a	-1
BE-HIATUS/WATER	Almost every year, farmers face water shortages due to drought. Therefore, they are looking for alternatives for this valuable water,	-1
ES-GO IMECO/PgSLR	slurry management and treatment system for ensuring the product generated in pig farms is more competitive and has a lower envi	1
FI-LEX4BIO/BBFRT	reducing dependency on mineral and fossil-based fertilizers by optimizing the use of bio-based fertilizers (BBFs)	-1
IT-ProBEST/BARK	In the production of forest wood fuels, the presence of bark and twigs must be limited. these can be chipped and delivered, essenti	-1
IT-ProBEST/ASHES	In the production of forest wood fuels, the incombustible elements (ash) must be limited. these can be delivered, essentially at co:	-1
IT-FiLeProPri/WSC	Face the problem of the socio-economic marginality of wood production in private property.	-1
IT-ROSAEXTREM/NRH	Encourage the restructuring of farms with structural problems considerable	-1
IT-M.ER.LI.n/MERL	Analyze needs of partner companies to identify implementation opportunities innovative solutions that are energetically sustainab	-1
IT-INNOVABIOZOO/INZOO	The project consists of a series of actions divided into the following areas work: preparatory phase, coordination and animation, ad	-1
ES-AgriCarbón/AC	In Andalusia, agricultural activities play an important role in the socio-economic development which generates contamination in sup	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/BYPRO	Promotes possible alternatives for the valorisation of avocado by-products by studying the characterisation of their nutritional profi	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88% of the tc	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generated in th	-1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	-1
ES-RINSIIFERO/WHEFV	In Andalusia it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 l of milk it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 l of whey	-1

ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88% of the total production.	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generated in the processing.	-1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	-1
ES-BIOSUERO/WHEY	In Andalusia, it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 L of milk, it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 L of whey.	-1
ES-Biochar/BIOCH	The project is mainly focused on the Western Coast of Huelva (Ayamonte, Isla Cristina, Lepe, Cartaya, Gibralfuente, Punta Umbría and San Juan del Puerto).	-1
ES-BIORUMIOLI/FEED	Need to increase the profitability of livestock farms and create an alternative for the olive oil industry by achieving a more efficient use of by-products.	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIVE	The olive-mill waterwaste constitute one of the most important environmental problems in olive cooperatives, since they are characterised by high organic load and high salinity.	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIV2	The reuse and recovery of olive by-products are essential to reduce environmental pollution and contribute to increasing the efficiency of the olive oil industry.	-1
CZ-TJ02000130/	Among the difficult tasks are the application of fugate (a by-product of biogas production) and the dependence on peat (a non-renewable resource).	-1
CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and we have to find solutions.	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is quite complex.	-1
CZ-TH03030319/	Efficient use of processed field by-products.	-1
CZ-QK1710379/	Use of sewage sludge as a valuable waste raw material in agriculture.	-1
CZ-TH02030681/	The establishment of field crops (maize) is currently carried out in the form of monoculture. This causes surface runoff of rainwater and soil erosion.	-1
CZ-TH02030925/	Increasing the composting rate, especially when processing bulky materials (urban green waste, sludge), which are increasingly produced in the country.	1
CZ-SS06020282/	Conventional mechanical recycling of biopolymers is currently not a technologically feasible process, as their products often end up in landfills.	-1
CZ-TK04010166/	There is a need to update biomass potential in the light of changing factors affecting land use and biomass yields, including updating of land use maps.	-1
CZ-FW06010358/	Reduction of the consumption of liquid substances (including liquid fertilisers) per unit area, in particular better use of these substances.	-1
EL11-HIPO-ENERGY/NUTRI	- management of Hippophae leaves, which are cultivated only for the harvesting of the fruit- existing studies highlight the nutritional value of these leaves.	-1
EL12-AEIΦOPIKA KHPETIKA/OREG	control of downy mildew (caused by soil fungi with negative effects in the production) in the context of the circular economy and the use of natural products.	-1
EL13-OLIVERB/OLIVE	management of the significant quantities of olive leaves produced as by-products during cultivation (pruning), harvesting of olives and processing of olive oil.	-1
EL14-BioAnimalChar/PIG	cost and quality of pig feed	1
EL15-COMPOST-INNO/COMPO	- lack of sufficient amounts of organic waste in the cultivation sites - distance of the sources of organic matter from the places of production	1
EL16-Compo - Lavender/COLAV	increase of the production and the quality of lavender oil	-1
EL17-AGROSCHOOLBUS.BIO/RESOL	Sustainable management of the residues (branches and leaves), pruning of the olive tree (and other productive trees).	-1
EL18-SoilCircle/	Due to the negative effects on crop growth and the environment from the use of synthetic fertilisers, it is recommended to apply soil management practices.	-1
EL19-YFEIAPTOΣ/YOGO	Exploitation of both goat milk and espresso coffee residues	-1
EL20-Innovative Rice Residue Management Practice	Handling plant residues remaining in the rice fields after harvesting. Their burning was banned because of the reduction in organic carbon in the soil.	-1
EL21-EΛAIONΑΣ/OLFER	Management of waste and wastewater generated during the olive farming and olive oil production processes	-1
PL91-OrzechDębu/ACORN	Changing trends in consumer attitudes and food preferences towards reducing the consumption of meat and animal products. The valorisation of by-products.	-1
PL93-GRIST/GRIST	Organic grain production and processing of brewery residues into meal, using an innovative dehydration method	-1
pl94-Owoce 4.0/Owoce 4.0	Development of technology dedicated to currant plantations	-1
	0	0



5.6 Spain

5.6.1 Information for the “Workshop Answers” sheet.

FAN Country: Spain							
FAN Region: Andalusia							
		Q1 t		Q2 t			
ID	Q1. What are the primary or secondary resources available in your representative region?	ID	Q2. What processing equipment is currently being used in your representative region?				
1	Forest pruning residues	1	Chopped				
2	Slurry	2	Crushed				
3	Wood	3	Separation				
4	Olive stone	4	Drying				
5	Olive pruning residues	5	Storage				
6	Greenhouses plant debris	6	Fermentation				
7		7					
8		8					
9		9					
10		10					
11		11					
12							
13							
14							

Q3 t		Q4 t	
ID	Q3. What secondary products/by-products are currently being generated in your representative region?	ID	Q4. What are your representative region's processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)
1	Fuel	1	Absence of biorefineries or biomass management plants close to the production site for local biomass processing (compost, biogas, etc. both in agriculture and forestry sector). To produce valuable products that make it more profitable.
2	Compost	2	High cost of storage and transport of products with a high percentage of moisture
3	Biogas	3	Bioproducts currently do not have enough added value to make the logistics cost profitable.
4	Pellets	4	Available technologies with a bad efficiency cost ratio, mainly still at pilot phase, not available in the market. Large number of R&D projects but not all are scalable.
5		5	Weeding, storage, clutching of forest increase the biomass cost.
6		6	Logistics problems due to seasonal biomass generation. No guarantee of a stable prize of the bioproduct in the market (uncertainty that makes biomass valorisation not profitable) Lack of awareness about circular bioeconomy concept to the agricultural and forest producer (biomass supplier) and the society as a whole.
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	
11		11	
12			

Q5.1_t			Q5.2_t		
Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of farm size of the stakeholders which ID FAN members interact with (ha): e.g. 10 – 400 ha			Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region? Values provided by the FAN members: Range of forest size of the stakeholders which ID FAN members interact with (ha):		
1	10.00 - 500.00		1	50.00 - 500.00	
2			2		
3			3		
4			4		
5			5		
6			6		
7			7		
8			8		
9			9		
10			10		

Q5.3 t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?

National statistics data:

ID

Average farm size (ha):

1	17.7
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.4 t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?

National statistics data:

ID

Average forest size (ha):

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.5 t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?

National statistics data:

ID

Region total farmed area (ha):

1	4,380,000.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q5.6 t

Q5. What is the size/total area of the farm or forest in your representative region?

National statistics data:

ID

Region total forest area (ha):

1	4,460,000.00
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Q6.1 t		Q6.2 t	
Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the short-term time (2 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €		Q6. How much would the farmers/foresters in your representative region be willing to invest in the long-term time (5 years) to implement a technology or practice that would help them process their current resources into bio-products/by-products? Range €	
ID	ID	ID	ID
1 €	4,000.00	1 €	10,000.00
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	

Q7 t		Q8.1 t	
Q7. What return on investment period (number of years) is acceptable for investment in a bio-based technology?		Q8. What key stakeholders are you currently interacting/collaborating with?	
ID	Please provide an average value of FAN and a range of values (years).	ID	
1	2	1	Agricultural research centers.
2	3	2	Universities
3	4	3	Biotech companies
4	5	4	Agricultural and forestry organizations, cooperatives, and associations
5		5	Rural development groups
6		6	Technological centres
7		7	Pasture and forestry exploitations.
8		8	Cooperatives
9		9	Olive oil companies
10		10	Agricultural associations
		11	CSIC

Q8.2_t		Q8.3_t	
ID	Q8. Nature of collaboration: e.g. advisory	ID	Q8. Type of collaboration: open or closed to new members or partners.
1	Applied research projects.	1	Open
2	Joint or pilot research projects for the application of innovative technologies.	2	Open
3	Collaboration in R&D programs providing raw materials in search for innovative solutions for the valorisation, or production of biofuels, bioplastics.	3	Open
4	Pilot projects, promotion actions, support for seeking opportunities, access to markets for bioproducts.	4	Open
5	Technical support for fund raising	5	Open
6	Collaboration for the promotion of forestry technologies.	6	Open
7	Direct contact with owners	7	Open
8		8	Open
9		9	Open
10		10	Open
11		11	Open

	Q9 t			Q10 t	
	ID	Q9. Where do you go for information in your region?	ID	Q10. What are the most significant environmental impacts in the region worrying your sector (forest/agriculture)?	
	1	Scientific and technical publications: JRC.	1	Land degradation and deforestation.	
	2	Platforms (https://observatoriobiomasa.es/, https://bioplat.org/, Red IntercamBIOM (https://intercambiom.org/practicas-innovadoras/), APPA Renovables- Biomasa (https://www.appa.es/appa-2 biomasa/).	2	Lack of forest cleanup.	
	3	Public administration portals + Reports (MITECO, CAPADR, AAE (Andalusian Energy Agency).	3	CO ₂ emissions – climate change.	
	4	Technological and Agricultural Research Centers such as IFAPA, ceiA3.	4	The burning of pruning waste, both agricultural and gardening, and the destruction of fruit in warehouses.	
	5	Agricultural and forestry organizations and associations: Spanish Association of Biomass Energy Valorization (AVEBIOM), CLANER (Renewable Energy Association of Andalusia), AgroBioHeat.	5	Loss of biodiversity.	
	6	Research Institutions and Universities (UCO, US, ceiA3, CIEMAT (Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas).	6	Water and soil contamination (use of fertilizers and pesticides).	
	7	Collaboration networks / projects (BIC, ROBIN, SCALE-UP, BIOTRANSFORM, BIOREFINERY MAP).	7	Consumption of water resources.	
	8	Contacts with experts and technology suppliers through attendance at events in this field.	8	Rapid depletion of natural resources.	
	9	Consultants and industry professionals.	9	Increase risk of fires if forestry biomass is not managed.	
	10	Internet.	10		
	11	Competitor companies.			
	12	Sectorial bibliography			
	13				

Q11: t		Q12: t	
ID	Q11. What ideas do you have for involving women, the unemployed, and the youth in this area?	ID	Thinking about the current resources and needs identified, what improvements could be implemented to make the process more circular?
1	Create a strategy that makes examples visible, promotes cooperation and improves entry conditions in the sector.	1	Promote new business models to manage biomass resources on-site to make profitable and cost effective the biomass management.
2	Offer courses related to forestry training, especially practical ones.	2	Raffia separation equipment to make greenhouses vegetables biomass usable.
3	Educational campaigns from an early age in schools.	3	Increase information to the producer about the available technologies and processes, and communicate opportunities offered by the bioeconomy. Increase social awareness for sustainability. Encourage funding for scaling up pilot technologies that is not yet available at 3 market level
4	Skills development programs for university students.	4	
5	Specific training sessions aimed at specific segments of the population.	5	
6	Outreach campaigns and initiatives to promote interest in issues related to biomass valorisation.	6	
7	Support with public/private funding for the creation of startups, spinoffs, business incubators, etc.	7	
8	Establish online platforms and digital tools so that this sector of the population can get involved.	8	
9	Labour internships in agricultural exploitations and agri-food industries.	9	
10	Investing in reuse plants to generate new job positions.	10	
11			

Q13 t

ID Do you know of a more circular approach/technology that will help your RR work in a more circular way?

1 Generate circular bioeconomy microclimates with biomass management plants in forestry areas to incentivise employment and biomass valorisation.

2 Technology to reduce the size and density of the biomass transport in forest sector.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10



5.6.2 Information for the “TranslateTables” sheet.

SECTION 1 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM DROPDOWN FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

T1.1 t

Q1 Answers	Reference sector
Forest pruning residues	A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities
Slurry	A1.4Animal production
Wood	A2.1Silviculture and other forestry activities
Olive stone	A1.6Support activities to agriculture and post-harvest crop activities
Olive pruning residues	A1.2Growing of perennial crops
Greenhouses plant debris	A1.1Growing of non-perennial crops

	T1.4_t				T1.5_t		
	Q1 Answers	Feedstock			Q1 Answers	Value chains	
	Forest pruning residues	Biomass residues			Forest pruning residues	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.	
	Slurry	Wastes			Slurry	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.	
	Wood	Biomass			Wood	3 - High potential - Significant arisings of feedstocks available currently.	
	Olive stone	Wastes			Olive stone	1- Low potential - Low to medium arisings of feedstock available between 2023-2035	
	Olive pruning residues	Biomass residues			Olive pruning residues	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.	
	Greenhouses plant debris	Biomass			Greenhouses plant debris	2 - Medium potential - Significant availability of feedstocks available by 2035.	

[illegible]

		In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options are low, medium, or high potential of carbon sequestration as low, medium, or high potential of carbon emissions . The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.				In these cells, we are interpreting the drop-down options are low, medium, or high potential of environmental benefits as low, medium, or high potential of environmental impacts . The wording of the drop-down cells does not reflect this because of how the tool works.	
	T10.1_t				T10.2_t		
	Q10 Answers		C Sink			Q10 Answers	
	Land degradation and deforestation.	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).			Land degradation and deforestation.	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.	
	Lack of forest cleanup.	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.			Lack of forest cleanup.	2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 environmental benefits.	
	CO2 emissions – climate change.	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).			CO2 emissions – climate change.	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.	
	The burning of pruning waste, both agricultural and gardening, and the destruction of fruit in warehouses.	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).			The burning of pruning waste, both agricultural and gardening, and the destruction of fruit in warehouses.	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.	
	Loss of biodiversity.	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.			Loss of biodiversity.	2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 environmental benefits.	
	Water and soil contamination (use of fertilizers and pesticides).	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.			Water and soil contamination (use of fertilizers and pesticides).	2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 environmental benefits.	
	Consumption of water resources.	3 - High potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock and product level).			Consumption of water resources.	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.	
	Rapid depletion of natural resources.	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.			Rapid depletion of natural resources.	3 - High potential - Expected to bring at least 3 significant environmental benefits.	
	Increase risk of fires if forestry biomass is not managed.	2 - Medium potential - strong potential for carbon sequestration at the feedstock or product level only.			Increase risk of fires if forestry biomass is not managed.	2 - Medium potential - Expected to bring 2 or 1 environmental benefits.	



Funded by
the European Union

SECTION 2 - ADDING EXTRA INFORMATION TO TRANSLATE VALUES FROM WORKSHOP ANSWERS

[illegible]

SECTION 3 - TRANSLATION OF VALUES FROM NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS FIELDS IN THE BBIONETS INVENTORY

[illegible]

5.6.3 Information for the “Weight” sheet



Workshop answers regarding processing needs (as visual reference only)		Inventory answers to BBTs needs/problems statement		Manually add weight to BBTs after reading the workshop answers
W4_t				
ID	processing needs regarding primary and secondary resources? (identified needs should be ranked from high to low)	BBT CODES	BBT NEEDS/PROBLEM STATEMENT	Weight
	Absence of biorefineries or biomass management plants close to the production site for local biomass processing (compost, 1 site for local biomass processing (compost, 2 High cost of storage and transport of products Bioproducts currently do not have enough 3 added value to make the logistics cost Available technologies with a bad efficiency 4 cost ratio, mainly still at pilot phase, not 5 Weeding, storage, clutching of forest increase Logistics problems due to seasonal biomass generation. No guarantee of a stable prize of 6 the bioproduct in the market (uncertainty that 7 8 9 10 11	IE10-Biorefinery Glas/GLAS IT11-FABER/FABER FI12-ForestChip4Farm/FC4FH DE13-Lignocellulosic Biorefinery/LIGNO EL14-BIOZCHP/B2CHP IT15-Scarabeo/HMP2C HU16-REFERTIL/3RZRO IT17-Mountain Carbon/MNTNC EE18-Hay Biosyngas/HAYBG FI19-Wood2Biogas/Wd2BG IT20-Clean-ER/CLINR FR21-SeCoPPA /ALFLF IE22-BBFB/CHAR IE23-SBDP/BGAS DE24-GrassBiowert/BWERT BE25-Grassification/GRSFY FR26-Pyrogreen/PYROG DE27-GO-GRASS/GOGRS NL28-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	To improve the sustainability, value and resource efficiency of Ireland's livestock sector through farmer diversification into the bioeconomy and to assess the potential role of grass biorefinery in supporting sustainable and resilient communities in rural Ireland. Forest biomass and its management to address climate change and GHG emissions. Preventing climate change, increasing bioenergy in rural areas, decreasing CO2 emissions from farms and the food chain, promoting a sustainable food chain, and developing new innovations and products. To valorize the lignocellulosic residues (meadow grass) from farms in a sustainable way. bypasses technical limitations & allows the use of residual biomass for small-scale & on-site energy production There is a need for sustainable and efficient methods in the hemp supply chain to increase quality, valorize and reduce energy consumption. Recycling and valorization of un-exploited farm and animal by-products to help improve the management of the organic matter (OM) from dairy cattle manure in the mountain areas a cost-effective and efficient way to produce bio-coal from late harvested hay pellets to benefit small and medium-sized entrepreneurs to produce and use the gasification gas to boost methane formation in the biogas process, and simultaneously produce biochar, and the use of woody materials in biogas energy production without increasing the amount of digestate from the biogas process The accumulation of low economic value woody/shrub biomass in mountain areas poses a significant threat as it increases the risk of challenges in efficiently drying alfalfa for animal feed, and a need to utilize manure and shredded wood from hedgerows The unutilised biomass is left to decay which returns carbon dioxide to the atmosphere while also control of vegetation, by herbicide The intensification of Irish agriculture, particularly in dairying, has raised concerns about its environmental impact as it is a significant Displacement of fossil based products with bio-based alternatives, while offering rural diversification opportunities. Valorization of roadside grass clippings and improving its digestion with other feedstock, as monodigestion of grass can be technical A bio-based technology that supports valorizing, recycling and recovering of resources by providing a versatile and easy to implement The grass from protected wetland areas in the polder meadows is heterogeneous, in parts strongly lignified (rigid) and its nutritional value is low Low quality natural and roadside grass are used for low added value applications such as compost which also includes costs associated	-1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 -1 -1

DK29-GO-GRASS/GOGRS	The conversion of annual crops such as maize, rapeseed and cereals into grassland can significantly reduce nitrate leaching under EU	-1
PT30-Spawnoam/SPAWN	changing the paradigm of production and consumption of fossil-based composites and materials, such as plastics, by providing an in	-1
DE31-MixBioPells/PLTIZ	Since nowadays wood is getting more scarce caused by the growing demand in material and the energetic use, alternative solid biofi	-1
DE32-MixBioPells/PLTHP	In Europe small-scale combustion units (20 to 200 kW) are used almost only with high quality wood fuels, and nowadays wood is gett	-1
DE33-BIOlution/BIOLT	Agricultural waste such as tomato and wheat wastes are not used, which has an environmental impact and a management cost for th	-1
FR34-GRANUL'HAIE/WdPLT	To enhance the value of local raw materials to meet the increasing demand from consumers who are interested in buying local prod	1
ES35-Bioferti+/BFTZ+	Waste recovery of cattle manure and other organic waste, to increase the biological and physical-chemical quality of agricultural soil	-1
NL36-ManurePellet/MnPLT	A higher percentage of organic matter, a better soil structure and more binding of nutrients in the soil, a problem is formulated for a	-1
IT37-BIOECO_FLIES/W2BSF	to valorize agrifood biomass beyond the typical low added value applicaitons such as fertilizer or biomass for digesters	-1
DK38-Macrofuels/CWEED	There is an undisputed and urgent need to decarbonise the European transport sector and seaweed can be a sustainable bio-based f	1
IT39-Res4Carbon/RES4C	to define the best practices to guarantee maximum technical, economic and environmental efficiency in the processing, handling an	1
FR40-SIVABA/WdPwr	The need to better articulate the various links in the wood energy sector in order to increase its visibility vis-à-vis potential consume	-1
BE41-BierbeekCHIP/Wd2CN	to valorise the woody material coming from the municipal hollow roads and the wood edges after shredding on the local fields of Bier	1
LT42-cogeneration residue/Res2F	a need to develop a production technology for a new type of fertilizer using wood ashes and digestate	-1
IT43-CAREGA/4COAL	a gradual abandonment of the forests and a progressive decrease in commercial relations between forest owners, forestry companie	-1
IT44-COBRAF/COBRA	develop an articulated system of biorefineries that allows maximum exploitation of the biomass of oil crops (hemp, safflower, flax :	1
IT45-Stabilized Litter/StbMn	the impact of stabilized litter obtained from the solid/liquid separation of slurry subjected to a process of sanitation and stabilization	-1
IT46-BIOACTAM/BIOAA	to develop and validate a new generation of products, based on the partial pyrolysis of ligno-cellulosic biomass deriving from forest	1
PT47-GOEFuentes/GoEFt	increase the efficiency of water and nutrient utilization, reduce the environmental impact of farming and add value to agricultural w	-1
ES48-INCREdible/RESIN	addressing challenges faced by resin as a non-wood forest product	1
LV49-WoodResidueLV/W2IHF	developing innovative technological solutions to reclamation of wood processing by-products, further processing and adding value t	1
IT50-RBR-EAS/BC4R	using different residual biomass with energy purposes (biofuel production), agricultural (production of fertilizer) and food (dietary s	-1
AT-Closing cycles/NTREC	Farms need a reasonably closed nutrient cycle to recover energy and resources	-1
PL-BIOGAL/BIOGL	Livestock sector has several challenges because of the management of the resources and wastes produced. Manure is one of the bigge	-1
ES-LIFE Smart Fertirrigation/FRTGN	pig meat production generates large amounts of manure leading to important environmental problems and many anaerobic digestio	-1
BE-DIGESMART /DIGST	Biogas production is efficient at reducing agricultural emission by converting the biomass into electricity and thermal energy (cogen	1
NL-VORTEX/VORTX	Manure stripping innovation for efficiency and cost	-1
NL-Manure Evaporation/EVAPR	Different manure processing techniques are already available and the thick fraction can be well tolerated. However, the reduction o	-1
DE-Manure Efficiency/MNURE	to develop a procedure for liquid slurry processing for agricultural enterprises, with which slurry and manure can be used to produce	1
SE-Manure Refining/MNRRF	to produce concentrated, transport-efficient fertilizers from biogas plant that produces large amounts of digested manure, as well as	1
BE-HIATUS/WATER	Almost every year, farmers face water shortages due to drought. Therefore, they are looking for alternatives for this valuable water,	-1
ES-GO IMECO/PgSLR	slurry management and treatment system for ensuring the product generated in pig farms is more competitive and has a lower enviro	-1
FI-LEX4BIO/BBFRT	reducing dependency on mineral and fossil-based fertilizers by optimizing the use of bio-based fertilizers (BBFs)	-1
IT-ProBEST/BARK	In the production of forest wood fuels, the presence of bark and twigs must be limited. these can be chipped and delivered, essenti	1
IT-ProBEST/ASHES	In the production of forest wood fuels, the incombustible elements (ash) must be limited. these can be delivered, essentially at cos	-1
IT-FileProPri/WSC	Face the problem of the socio-economic marginality of wood production in private property.	-1
IT-ROSAEXTREM/NRH	Encourage the restructuring of farms with structural problems considerable	-1
IT-M.ER.LI.n/MERL	Analyze needs of partner companies to identify implementation opportunities innovative solutions that are energetically sustainabl	-1
IT-INNOVABIOZOO/INZOO	The project consists of a series of actions divided into the following areas work: preparatory phase, coordination and animation, ado	-1
ES-AgriCarbón/AC	In Andalusia, agricultural activities play an important role in the socio-economic development which generates contamination in sup	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/BYPRO	Promotes possible alternatives for the valorisation of avocado by-products by studying the characterisation of their nutritional profil	-1
ES-AGUACAVALUE/AVOCA	Spain concentrates the 93% of the avocado production in Europe. Malaga and Granada (Andalusian provinces) have the 88% of the to	-1
ES-CHERRY4FOOD/CHERR	There is a need for the processing industries of tomato products (gazpacho and salmorejo) to utilise the by-products generated in th	-1
ES-TOMATOGROUP/TOMAT	There is a need for valorisation of the tomato chopping which currently is a residue with no value.	-1
ES-BIOSUERO/WHEY	In Andalusia, it is produced a large quantity of milk whey every year. From 10 L of milk, it is obtained 1 kg of cheese and 9 L of whey.	-1
ES-Biochar/BIOCH	The project is mainly focused on the Western Coast of Huelva (Ayamonte, Isla Cristina, Lepe, Cartaya, Gibralfuente, Punta Umbría and A	-1
ES-BIORUMIOLI/FEED	Need to increase the profitability of livestock farms and create an alternative for the olive oil industry by achieving a more efficient i	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIVE	The olive-mill waterwaste constitute one of the most important environmental problems in olive cooperatives, since they are charac	-1
ES-OleoValoriza/OLIV2	The reuse and recovery of olive by-products are essential to reduce environmental pollution and contribute to increasing the efficie	-1
CZ-TJ02000130/	Among the difficult tasks are the application of fugate (a by-product of biogas production) and the dependence on peat (a non-renew	-1
CZ-QK1920328/	The progressive large-scale decay of spruce stands affecting many areas in the Czech Republic can hardly be prevented and we have	-1
CZ-QK1920214/	The issue of protecting water resources while maintaining the competitiveness of agricultural production in their vicinity is quite cor	-1
CZ-TH03030319/	Efficient use of processed field by-products.	-1
CZ-QK1710379/	Use of sewage sludge as a valuable waste raw material in agriculture.	-1
CZ-TH02030681/	The establishment of field crops (maize) is currently carried out in the form of monoculture. This causes surface runoff of rainwater i	-1
CZ-TH02030925/	Increasing the composting rate, especially when processing bulky materials (urban green waste, sludge), which are increasingly proc	-1
CZ-SS06020282/	Conventional mechanical recycling of biopolymers is currently not a technologically feasible process, as their products often end up	-1
CZ-TK04010166/	There is a need to update biomass potential in the light of changing factors affecting land use and biomass yields, including updating	-1
CZ-FW06010358/	Reduction of the consumption of liquid substances (including liquid fertilisers) per unit area, in particular better use of these substai	-1
ELLI-HIPO-ENERGY/NUTRI	- management of Hippophae leaves, which are cultivated only for the harvesting of the fruit- existing studies highlight the nutritio	-1

EL12-AEIΦΟΙΚΑ ΚΗΠΕΥΤΙΚΑ/OREG	control of downy mildew (caused by soil fungi with negative effects in the production) in the context of the circular economy and the	-1
EL13-OLIVERB/OLIVE	management of the significant quantities of olive leaves produced as by-products during cultivation (pruning), harvesting of olives and	-1
EL14-BioAnimalChar/PIG	cost and quality of pig feed	-1
EL15-COMPOST-INNO/COMPO	- lack of sufficient amounts of organic waste in the cultivation sites - distance of the sources of organic matter from the places of production	-1
EL16-Compo - Lavender/COLAV	increase of the production and the quality of lavender oil	-1
EL17-AGROSCHOOLBUS.BIO/RESOL	Sustainable management of the residues (branches and leaves), pruning of the olive tree (and other productive trees).	-1
EL18-SoilCircle/	Due to the negative effects on crop growth and the environment from the use of synthetic fertilisers, it is recommended to apply soil	-1
EL19-ΥΓΕΙΑΠΤΟΣ/YOGO	Exploitation of both goat milk and espresso coffee residues	-1
EL20-Innovative Rice Residue Management Practice	Handling plant residues remaining in the rice fields after harvesting. Their burning was banned because of the reduction in organic matter	-1
EL21-ΕΛΑΙΩΝΑΣ/OLFER	Management of waste and wastewater generated during the olive farming and olive oil production processes	-1
PL91-OrzechDębu/ACORN	Changing trends in consumer attitudes and food preferences towards reducing the consumption of meat and animal products. The value	-1
PL93-GRIST/GRIST	Organic grain production and processing of brewery residues into meal, using an innovative dehydration method	-1
pl94-Owoce 4.0/Owoce 4.0	Development of technology dedicated to currant plantations	-1
	0	0

6 Conclusions and future works

The D2.2 "BBTs Assessment Tool - v1" represents a significant advancement in the evaluation and prioritization of Bio-Based Technologies for different regions. By integrating comprehensive data and providing a user-friendly interface, the tool enables stakeholders to make informed decisions based on regional needs and specific conditions. The methodology and data model ensure that the results are precise and relevant, fostering greater accuracy with detailed user inputs.

This initial version sets the foundation for future enhancements and wider adoption across various regions. The incorporation of feedback from ongoing and future workshops will further refine the tool, aligning it more closely with real-world applications and challenges. As more data is collected and incorporated, the BBTs Assessment Tool will evolve, offering increasingly robust and tailored recommendations.

Overall, the BBTs Assessment Tool is poised to become an essential resource for regional planners and decision-makers, facilitating the strategic implementation of bio-based technologies and promoting sustainable development. The guidelines provided ensure that partners can effectively utilize the tool, ensuring consistency and reliability in creating 'reference' scenarios. This deliverable marks an important milestone in the project's journey towards fostering innovation and sustainability through bio-based solutions.

References

- [1] "Difference Between Star and Snowflake Schema. <https://techdifferences.com/difference-between-star-and-snowflake-schema.html>." [Online]. Available: <https://techdifferences.com/difference-between-star-and-snowflake-schema.html>.
- [2] D. J. Cohen and M. R. Reynolds, "Interpreting the results of cost-effectiveness studies," *J Am Coll Cardiol*, vol. 52, no. 25, pp. 2119-26, Dec 16 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.09.018.

Appendix I Guidelines for Reference Scenarios

Below there is a copy of the guidelines sent to partners to follow for the creation of the reference scenarios.

Document information

Title BBioNets - Creation and promotion of Forest and Agriculture Networks to boost Bio-Based Technologies adoption and Value Chain development (GA No 101133904)

Start - end date 1/11/2023 – 31/10/2026 (36 months)

Project type Coordination and Support Action

Programme Horizon Europe – Cluster 6

Funding 1,998,636.20 €

Coordinator Munster Technological University
Ms. Carmen Girón Domínguez
(carmen.dominguez@mtu.ie)

Project overview **BBioNets** will constitute a thematic network that will rely on, promote, and further advance the work carried out by EIP AGRI Operational Groups (OGs) with respect to **management and/or processing of agricultural and forest biomass with Bio-Based Technologies (BBTs)**. The project will set up 6 regional Forest and Agriculture Networks - FANs (IE, ES, IT, GR, PL, CZ) that will identify local needs, prioritise specific BBTs and share BBT knowledge ready for practice to farmers and foresters, boosting the (re)definition of value chains, stimulating cross-fertilisation beyond borders, and bringing Europe to the forefront of farming, forestry, and bioeconomy with economically viable and sustainable practices.

Consortium



✉ info@bbionets.eu

📘 [@bbionets.eu](https://www.bbionets.eu)

🌐 [@bbionets.eu](https://www.bbionets.eu)

📧 [@bbionets.eu](https://www.bbionets.eu)

📺 [@bbionets.eu](https://www.bbionets.eu)

📺 [@BBioNetsEU](https://www.bbionets.eu)